

1 **Name: John Doe (AKA , Name provided on internal court documents)**  
2 **Address: 210 S. Ellsworth Ave, #1275**  
3 **San Mateo, CA 94401**  
4 **Phone Number: 510-868-2862**  
5 **E-mail Address: justice@majestic111.com**  
6 *Pro Se – A federal witness*

7 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

8 **SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

9 **(UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION)**

10 **JOHN DOE, a Pro Se non-lawyer citizen**

11 **PLAINTIFF,**

12 **v.**

13 **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

14 **and DOES 1 through 20**

15 **DEFENDANTS.**

) **CASE NO.:**

) **MOTION FOR NON BIAS DUE**  
) **DILIGENCE REVIEW**

) **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED IN MASTER**  
) **COMPLAINT**

16 **Filed: 2.24.22**

17  
18  
19  
20 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

21  
22  
23  
24 **MOTION FOR NON BIAS DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW**

25 **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED IN MASTER COMPLAINT**

26  
27 Plaintiff hereby places a motion before the Court to request multi-Court official public review for  
28 non-bias of judiciary personnel involved in this case. Plaintiff has dated sitting judges in the

1 regional courts and sitting judges have dated Plaintiff's ex-girlfriend. A well known sitting judge  
2 used to spend many nights at Plaintiff's home. Additionally, trillions of dollars of conflict-of-  
3 interest government funds and high tech stock market funds are involved in the Master Complaint  
4 which, obviously, opens the door for bribery. Additionally, America is currently in a political civil  
5 war and many of the judicial officers have friends who are either friends or co-investors of the  
6 Defendants for political or financial reasons. Thus, conflicts of interest are rife in this case. Hence,  
7 the demand for a Jury Trial.

8 Court examples of these concerns include a previous case where Plaintiff was forced to seek to  
9 move the court for an order transferring a cause and all of its pending and future motions to an  
10 unbiased and disinterested Judicial Officer for the Court. Ie: this text from DOJ and the previous  
11 case matters:

- 12  
13 1. *This motion is filed pursuant to C.R.C.P. 97, the Canons of Judicial Conduct, and state and*  
14 *federal constitutional guarantees to due process of law, equal protection of the law, and a*  
15 *fair hearing and trial.*
- 16 2. *There is sufficient evidence to prove that Judge XXXX is not an impartial or qualified judge*  
17 *in this case. The facts to support this motion are stated in the attached affidavit.*
- 18 3. *The framers of the Fourteenth Amendment stressed that the due process and equal*  
19 *protection clauses created a right to a fair and impartial hearing and trial. Courts have*  
20 *repeatedly held that an impartial judge is an indispensable element of that right. The*  
21 *attached Affidavit shows both bias and prejudice in fact and in appearance, and a lack of*  
22 *legal qualification and temperament to preside in this case. Each alone is sufficient to*  
23 *require the judge's disqualification and recusal.*
- 24 4. *Due process demands a fair and impartial hearing by a neutral and detached magistrate.*  
25 *Gerstein v. Pugh, 420 U.S. 103 (1975); Ward v. Village of Monroe, 409 U.S. 57, 62*  
26 *(1972). A right to an impartial judge is so basic to due process that courts can never treat its*  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

*infraction as a harmless error. Chapman v. California, 386 U.S. 18, 23 (1967). “...the tribunals of the country shall not only be impartial in the controversies submitted to them but shall give assurance that they are impartial...” Berger v. the United States, 255 U.S. 22, 35-6 (1921).*

5. *Rule 1.2 states “Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary - A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality\* of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.”*

6. *Judge XXXX has a duty to promote confidence in the judiciary.*

7. *Plaintiff does not feel that the present judge will act independently, with integrity and impartiality regarding the present case of Plaintiff.*

8. *Actual improprieties include violations of law, court rules or provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds and a perception that the judge violated this Code or engaged in other conduct that reflects adversely on the judge’s honesty, impartiality, temperament, or fitness to serve as a judge*

9. *Rule 1.3 provides the standard. Rule 1.3 states that “Avoiding Abuse of the Prestige of Judicial Office - A judge shall not abuse the prestige of judicial office to advance the personal or economic interests\* of the judge or others or allow others to do so.”*

10. *The presiding judge as a judicial office has an economic interest in this matter. It would be unfair and unreasonable if this Judge would be allowed to preside over this case matter.*

11. *Rule 2:2 provides:*

***Impartiality and Fairness.*** *A judge shall uphold and apply the law and shall perform all duties of judicial office fairly and impartially.*

12. *Pursuant to Rule 2.2, the judge should not give self-represented litigants an unfair advantage or create an appearance of partiality to the reasonable person; however, in the interest of ensuring fairness and access to justice, judges should make reasonable*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

*accommodations that help litigants who are not represented by counsel to understand the proceedings and applicable procedural requirements, secure legal assistance, and be heard according to law.*

13. *The Plaintiff is representing himself. Plaintiff is disabled and blind but seeks to have his rights adjudicated because of the unfair advantage of the judge along with the actual possible actions of impartiality.*

14. *The rules for disqualification for a judge are set out in Rule 2:11 for Disqualification.*

15. *Rule 2:11(A). A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality\* might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances.*

16. *Rule 2:11 (A)(1) The judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party’s lawyer or personal knowledge\* of facts that are in dispute in the proceeding.*

17. *Under this Rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge’s impartiality might be questioned, regardless of whether any of the specific provisions of paragraphs (A)(1) through (6) apply. (Rule 2:11 -Comment 1)*

18. *This Judge should be disqualified because his impartiality is reasonably questioned. Plaintiff will not receive a fair trial under his jurisprudence*

19. *Comment 2 in Rule 2:11 states “a judge’s obligation not to hear or decide matters in which disqualification is required applies regardless of whether a motion to disqualify is filed.”*

20. *At least by the time of his failure to follow the mandamus of the D.C. Circuit panel and his decision regarding the Plaintiff’s case. The Court for **In re Al Nashiri** stated that \_ “it cast an intolerable cloud of partiality over his subsequent judicial conduct” and “risk[ed] [] undermining the public’s confidence in the judicial process.” **In re Al Nashiri**, 921 F.3d 224, 237, 239 (D.C. Cir. 2019)*

- 1 21. “[A]ll that must be demonstrated to compel recusal,” then, is “a showing of an appearance  
2 of bias...sufficient to permit the average citizen reasonably to question a judge’s  
3 impartiality.”” *Id.* at 234
- 4 22. The circumstances of this case lead any reasonable observer to believe that the current  
5 judge has a personal interest in the outcome, is irreparably biased against the Plaintiff. His  
6 continued presence in the case may become a national scandal undermining confidence in  
7 the impartiality of the judicial system and faith in the rule of law writ large.
- 8 23. The Constitution compels, and all statutory bases require (“shall recuse”), that Judge  
9 Sullivan recuse himself from any further proceeding
- 10 24. Even more fundamentally, due process guarantees “an absence of actual bias” on the part  
11 of a judge. *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955). Because bias is “easy to attribute to  
12 others and difficult to discern in oneself,” the Supreme Court has imposed an objective  
13 standard here, too, that asks whether “as an objective matter, the average judge in his  
14 position is ‘likely’ to be neutral, or whether there is an unconstitutional ‘potential for  
15 bias.’” *Williams v. Pennsylvania*, 136 S. Ct. 1899, 1905 (2016)
- 16 25. Notably, if Plaintiff’s case goes forth in the presiding court, it is very possible that he will  
17 receive a biased and unfair judgment.
- 18 26. In order for the wheels of justice to be maintained at all levels, it is imperative that this  
19 Judge be removed.
- 20 27. Recusal is required whenever there exists a genuine question concerning a judge’s  
21 impartiality,” regardless of whether the question arises from an extrajudicial source. *Id.*,  
22 citing *Berger v. United States*, 255 U.S. 22, 28 (1921)
- 23 28. Judges are to decide cases based solely upon the facts and arguments presented by the  
24 parties’ counsel through the judicial process
- 25  
26  
27  
28

1 29. Plaintiff will not give a fair trial because it is quite possible that the judge will not decide  
2 the case solely upon the facts. The Judge makes take his own self-interest in the case.

3 30. Even appearance of bias or prejudice is sufficient grounds for disqualification. It is an  
4 abuse of discretion and reversible error if that judge does not withdraw from the case even  
5 though he believes the statements are false or that the meaning attributed to them by the  
6 party seeking justice.

7 31. A judge should “recuse himself whenever...he believes his impartiality can reasonably be  
8 questioned.” A.B.A. Standards, *The Function of the Trial Judge*, 1.7. Departure from this  
9 principle shocks the sense of justice  
10

11  
12  
13 **CONCLUSION**

14  
15 *Plaintiff would respectfully request that this Court recuse the present judge presiding*  
16 *and assign the Plaintiff case before another Court. The transfer of Plaintiff’s case will*  
17 *ensure the integrity of the Court. Foremost, a reassignment of the case by this Motion to*  
18 *Recuse will assist in promoting equity and justice.*  
19

20  
21 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

22  
23  
24 *This is certified that the Motion to Recuse has been filed electronically with the Court*  
25 *Clerk on this the 9<sup>th</sup> day of February 2022. A copy has been sent to the opposing party at*  
26 *their designated email address.*  
27  
28

(Signed Electronically)

Scott D. Redmond

**Facts Of The Matter:**

Chief Judge XXXX D XXXX should have recused herself from this case the moment she saw it because she already knew the information herein.

Whereas White House executives, U.S. Senators, and Government agency executives colluded with Silicon Valley oligarchs, operating, together, as an “Enterprise”, to coordinate insider stock market ‘Stimulus Scams’ that involved funding blockades, blacklists, contracted tabloid media assassinations and RICO Racketeering law violations, Anti-trust law violations, IP law violations, lobbying law violations and various civil and criminal acts that harmed domestic citizens and Democracy. This case matter is well known to Courts, media, federal law enforcement and in nearly a million news articles. It is not possible for this Judge, who knows many of the participants in this case, to not have seen the conflict of interest herein, at first blush.

A simple cross-comparison of the ICIJ, FINCEN, Interpol, SEC, GAO forensic accounting and XKEYSCORE, et al, databases proves the illicit financial interactions between Defendants. Such a check can be technically accomplished within 60 minutes, or less, according to federal IT experts.

Almost every single investor of Elon Musk is also the primary financier and beneficiary of the politicians, and their Congressional shenanigans, that used taxpayer money and resources to give Musk's companies all that free money. For example, Dianne Feinstein's family run the HR service (Herb Newman), the construction company, the railroads, the building leases (CBRE), the China funds (Mart Bailey, Steve Westly, Steve Spinner, et al) and swap staff members for Tesla and

1 Solyndra. Feinstein and Pelosi blocked funding for all Tesla competitors for the same State and  
2 Federal cash and tax waivers and for the NUMMI factory. The California and Washington, DC  
3 politicians, personally, made billions off insider trading, pump-and-dumps and payola. Felonies?  
4 You Bet! Valic, who the Judge invests massively, with, runs part of the Tesla and Elon Musk cash.  
5  
6 Reporters and family members of California politicians, including U.S. Senators, **who Plaintiff has**  
7 **a personal relationship with and knows the staff of**, have contacted Plaintiff. Based on current  
8 investigative information, federal finance reports on the Judge's sources of income from stock  
9 market investments, speakers fees and other sources and social media postings, photographs and  
10 social photography archives from Getty, Drew Altizer and related Washington, DC 'high-society'  
11 photographers there appears to be conflicts of interest between Chief Judge XXXX D XXXX and this  
12 case. Senators Dianne Feinstein, Nancy Pelosi, Kamala Harris, Harry Reid (Deceased, but records  
13 acquired), White House staff, and other related parties, appear to have given orders to the Judge's  
14 office to "Stop this case no matter what.." stating that "This will cut off the stock market income of  
15 90% of the DNC..". As Chief Judge XXXX D XXXX is a renown spokesperson and speaker for the  
16 DNC and this case has the potential to cause the arrest, indictment, censure, Congressional charges  
17 of Contempt, and other hardships for "90% of the DNC", there is a reasonable assumption, that  
18 any person of average intelligence could make, that this Judge is overly conflicted in this matter.  
19  
20  
21  
22 As one of the founders of the "Anti-corruption Party", there are also basic conflicts of interest  
23 between the "Anti-corruption Party's" : "NO Public Official, or their family, should own stock  
24 market assets because that is how bribes are paid" stance and the DNC's stance, per Nancy Pelosi,  
25 that: "All public officials and judges should get stock market perks". The Plaintiff is an active  
26 proponent of the Expansion, FBI enforcement and application of the federal STOCK ACT, which  
27 most of the opposition are in violation of.  
28

1  
2 Facebook, Google, Alphabet, Tesla Motors, SpaceX and other Big Tech companies as well as the  
3 Senators mentioned, co-partnered in the government criminal endeavors mentioned in this case.  
4 Reporters have stated to Plaintiff that financial disclosures indicate that those who own direct, or  
5 mutual funds, together, in this entities are Defendants and 'enemies' of the Plaintiff. Plaintiff and  
6 advisors request that the Judge Swear, Warrant and Certify that they, or their partner own no  
7 stocks or interests in any "Big Tech" companies and that the points in the Co-Defendant Senator's  
8 financial disclosures (Per <https://www.openthebooks.com>, <https://sunlightfoundation.com/>, and  
9 Senate Investigators) do not cross over in the Judge's finance disclosure in any non-coincidence  
10 manner.  
11

12  
13 You can see the reports from Congress, Federal Agencies and Investigators verifying these  
14 assertions at: <http://american-corruption.com/public/>  
15

16  
17 The federally documented reports, below, prove that the number one way to bribe Judges  
18 and public officials is via Dark Money stock market transactions and covert Mutual Funds  
19 'packing'.

20 Such conflicts cannot even have a hint of possibility in a case this important to every  
21 American citizen.

22 How can you ever trust a single judge to rule fairly on a case involving famous politicians  
23 and notorious millionaires that the Judge knows, parties with, co-invests with and gets political  
24 perks from?  
25

26 There is a pandemic of crooked, bribed, judges in America as the following evidence shows.  
27 We must not give leverage to ANY possibility that a Judge could be influenced.  
28

1 The Judge has confirmed, herself, extensive investments in: Valic Large Cap Investment Fund,  
2 Valic Mid Cap Investment, Fund, Valic Small Cap Investment Fund, Valic Global & Internat'l  
3 Equity Fund, Valic Specialty Fund, Valic Fixed Income which are investment fronts for Google,  
4 Alphabet, Tesla and the other corrupt companies who are DOE/Defendants in this case and are  
5 under federal criminal investigations and those companies are regulated by the Senators and White  
6 House officials charged in these crimes. The United States Government has sued 'Valic', over and  
7 over, for a variety of misdeeds and dirty financial tricks. 'Valic' recently had to change their name  
8 in order to try to ward off more bad press, just like crooked 'Facebook' changed it's name to  
9 'Meta' in order to try to ward off more bad press from Facebook being outed as a corruption  
10 empire. The new name of Valic is "AIG Retirement Serices".

12  
13 Readers will recall that in the 2007-2008 "stimulus scam" a certain contingent of Congress people  
14 insisted that a company called AIG should get handed a massive load of free taxpayer cash. As we  
15 all know by now, insurance giant AIG sparked national outrage by paying more than \$165 million  
16 in executive bonuses after receiving a \$170 billion taxpayer bailout in the last "Stimulus Scam".  
17 What fewer people know is that AIG gave more than \$9 million in campaign contributions to  
18 Congress and the Congress People that lobbied FOR the AIG give-away were the people who AIG  
19 gave political resources to. Valic/AIG is suspected to be a money-laundering Dark Money route  
20 between Big Tech and members of Congress.  
21

22  
23 Plaintiff provided information and research to Robert Simon, the Producer for CBS News 60  
24 Minutes TV investigative show. 60 Minutes produced segments deeply relevant to this case  
25 including: Congress Trading On Insider Information; The Lobbyists Playbook; The Cleantech  
26 Crash; The Data Brokers and others. The pertinent sections of those news items can be viewed in  
27 the film: How Political Corruption Works located at  
28

[http://american-corruption.com/NEWS VIDEO COVERAGE/](http://american-corruption.com/NEWS_VIDEO_COVERAGE/)

1  
2 **Robert (Bob) Simon was killed in a suspicious car crash.** Bystanders described someone  
3 leaning into the car, at the crash, and spraying something.

4 This information was publicly available to The Judge, for years, prior to this case and was  
5 publicly discussed among the Judges peers and associates, to this day:

6 Washington, D.C. is a town that runs on inside information - but should our elected officials  
7 be able to use that information to pad their own pockets? As Steve Kroft, Bob Simon's peer at 60  
8 Minutes, reports, members of Congress and their aides have regular access to powerful political  
9 intelligence, and many have made well-timed stock market trades in the very industries they  
10 regulate. For now, the practice is perfectly legal, but some say it's time for the law to change.

11 Even if a Judge or Senator denies that they could be influenced by personal favorites or by  
12 stocks that all of their friends own, Psychology proves that assertion to be virtually impossible to be  
13 believed. Judges, or public officials would not deny that they subconsciously assert influence in a  
14 school to make sure their child has the best treatment and that kids that bully their child get the  
15 most punishment. Why should anyone think a Judge or Senator would not consciously, or  
16 subconsciously, assert influence over something that buys them mansions, trips to the Virgin Islands  
17 and sexy parties?  
18

19  
20 Judges and Senators in this case are either financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating  
21 the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service  
22 contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with,  
23 exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; our business adversaries, or the  
24 Senators and politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply  
25 political digital search manipulation services to. Criminal U.S. Senators coordinated and profited  
26 in these schemes. Their own family members, who plaintiff, and his peers know personally, have  
27 now supplied evidence against them.  
28

1           In one published report where Steve Kroft was the correspondent, with Ira Rosen and  
2 Gabrielle Schonder as producers, it was shown that, for national elections, public officials,  
3 including congressmen and senators are expending much of their time and their energy raising the  
4 millions of dollars in campaign funds they'll need just to hold onto a job that pays \$174,000 a year.

5           Few of them are doing it for the salary and all of them will say they are doing it to serve the  
6 public. But there are other benefits: Power, prestige, and the opportunity to become a Washington  
7 insider with access to information and connections that no one else has, in an environment of  
8 privilege where rules that govern the rest of the country, don't always apply to them.

9           Most former congressmen and senators manage to leave Washington - if they ever leave  
10 Washington - with more money in their pockets than they had when they arrived, and as you are  
11 about to see, the biggest challenge is often avoiding temptation. Judges lives are set according to  
12 which Senators, and other public officials are in office, Judges have even more incentive to rig  
13 political decisions because most of them own mansions they can't pay for with the speaker fees and  
14 stock perks their office provides.

15           Renown anti-corruption investigative reporter: Peter Schweizer, was asked to comment:

16           Peter Schweizer: "This is a venture opportunity. This is an opportunity to leverage your  
17 position in public service and use that position to enrich yourself, your friends, and your family."  
18

19           Peter Schweizer is a fellow at the Hoover Institution, a conservative think tank at Stanford  
20 University. A year ago he began working on a book about soft corruption in Washington with a  
21 team of eight student researchers, who reviewed financial disclosure records. It became a jumping  
22 off point for our own story, and we have independently verified the material we've used.  
23

24           Schweizer says he wanted to know why some congressmen and senators managed to  
25 accumulate significant wealth beyond their salaries, and proved particularly adept at buying and  
26 selling stocks.  
27

28           Schweizer: "There are all sorts of forms of 'honest' grafts that congressmen engage in that

1 allow them to become very, very wealthy. So it's not illegal, but I think it's highly unethical, I think  
2 it's highly offensive, and wrong.”

3 Steve Kroft: “What do you mean honest graft?”

4 Schweizer: **“For example insider trading on the stock market. If you are a member of**  
5 **Congress, those laws are deemed not to apply.”**

6 Kroft: “So congressman get a pass on insider trading?”

7 Schweizer: “They do. The fact is, if you sit on a healthcare committee and you know that  
8 Medicare, for example, is-- is considering not reimbursing for a certain drug that's market moving  
9 information. And if you can trade stock on-- off of that information and do so legally, that's a great  
10 profit making opportunity. And that sort of behavior goes on.”

11 Kroft: “Why does Congress get a pass on this?”

12 Schweizer: “It's really the way the rules have been defined. And the people who make the  
13 rules are the political class in Washington. And they've conveniently written them in such a way that  
14 they don't apply to themselves.”

15  
16 The buying and selling of stock by corporate insiders who have access to non-public  
17 information that could affect the stock price can be a criminal offense, just ask hedge fund manager  
18 Raj Rajaratnam who recently got 11 years in prison for doing it. But, congressional lawmakers  
19 have no corporate responsibilities and have long been considered exempt from insider trading laws,  
20 even though they have daily access to non-public information and plenty of opportunities to trade  
21 on it.

22  
23 Schweizer: “We know that during the health care debate people were trading health care  
24 stocks. We know that during the financial crisis of 2008 they were getting out of the market before  
25 the rest of America really knew what was going on.”

26  
27 In mid September 2008 with the Dow Jones Industrial average still above ten thousand,  
28 Treasury Secretary Hank Paulson and Federal Reserve Chairman Ben Bernanke were holding

1 closed door briefings with congressional leaders, and privately warning them that a global  
2 financial meltdown could occur within a few days. One of those attending was Alabama  
3 Representative Spencer Bachus, then the ranking Republican member on the House Financial  
4 Services Committee and now its chairman.

5 Schweizer: "These meetings were so sensitive-- that they would actually confiscate cell  
6 phones and Blackberries going into those meetings. What we know is that those meetings were held  
7 one day and literally the next day Congressman Bachus would engage in buying stock options  
8 based on apocalyptic briefings he had the day before from the Fed chairman and treasury  
9 secretary. I mean, talk about a stock tip."  
10

11 While Congressman Bachus was publicly trying to keep the economy from cratering, he was  
12 privately betting that it would, buying option funds that would go up in value if the market went  
13 down. He would make a variety of trades and profited at a time when most Americans were losing  
14 their shirts.

15 Congressman Bachus declined to talk to us, so we went to his office and ran into his Press  
16 Secretary Tim Johnson.  
17

18 Kroft: "Look we're not alleging that Congressman Bachus has violated any laws. All...the  
19 only thing we're interested in talking to him is about his trades. "  
20

21 Tim Johnson: "Ok...Ok that's a fair enough request."

22 What we got was a statement from Congressman Bachus' office that he never trades on non-  
23 public information, or financial services stock. However, his financial disclosure forms seem to  
24 indicate otherwise. Bachus made money trading General Electric stock during the crisis, and a  
25 third of GE's business is in financial services.

26 During the healthcare debate of 2009, members of Congress were trading health care  
27 stocks, including House Minority Leader John Boehner, who led the opposition against the so-  
28 called public option, government funded insurance that would compete with private companies. Just

1 days before the provision was finally killed off, Boehner bought health insurance stocks, all of  
2 which went up. Now speaker of the House, Congressman Boehner also declined to be interviewed,  
3 so we tracked him down at his weekly press conference.

4 Kroft: "You made a number of trades going back to the health care debate. You bought  
5 some insurance stock. Did you make those trades based on non-public information?" "

6 John Boehner: "I have not made any decisions on day-to-day trading activities in my  
7 account. And haven't for years. I don't-- I do not do it, haven't done it and wouldn't do it."

8 Later Boehner's spokesman told us that the health care trades were made by the speaker's  
9 financial adviser, who he only consults with about once a year.

10 Peter Schweizer: "We need to find out whether they're part of a blind trust or not."

11 It turns out you CAN pay bribes, though, through Mutual Funds AND Blind Trusts.

12 Peter Schweizer thinks the timing is suspicious, and believes congressional leaders should  
13 have their stock funds in blind trusts.

14 Schweizer: "Whether it's uh-- \$15,000 or \$150,000, the principle in my mind is that it's  
15 simply wrong and it shouldn't take place."

16 But there is a long history of self-dealing in Washington. And it doesn't always involve stock  
17 trades.

18 Congressmen and senators also seem to have a special knack for land and real estate deals.  
19 When Illinois Congressman Dennis Hastert became speaker of the House in 1999, he was worth a  
20 few hundred thousand dollars. He left the job eight years later a multi-millionaire.

21 Jan Strasma: "The road that Hastert wants to build will go through these farm fields right  
22 here."

23 In 2005, Speaker Hastert got a \$207 million federal earmark to build the Prairie Parkway  
24 through these cornfields near his home. What Jan Strasma and his neighbors didn't know was that  
25 Hastert had also bought some land adjacent to where the highway is supposed to go.  
26  
27  
28

1           Strasma: "...And five months after this earmark went through he sold that land and made a  
2 bundle of money."

3           Kroft: "How much?"

4           Strasma: "Two million dollars."

5           Kroft: "What do you think of it?"

6           Strasma: "It stinks."

7  
8           We stopped by the former speaker's farm, to ask him about the land deal, but he was off in  
9 Washington where he now works as a lobbyist. His office told us that property values in the area  
10 began to appreciate even before the earmark and that the Hastert land was several miles from the  
11 nearest exit.

12           But the same good fortune befell former New Hampshire Senator Judd Gregg, who helped  
13 steer nearly \$70 million dollars in government funds towards redeveloping this defunct Air Force  
14 base, which he and his brother both had a commercial interest in. Gregg has said that he violated  
15 no congressional rules.

16  
17           It's but one more example of good things happening to powerful members of Congress.  
18 Another is the access to initial public stock offerings, the opportunity to buy a new stock at insider  
19 prices just as it goes on the market. They can be incredibly lucrative and hard to get.

20           Schweizer: "If you were a senator, Steve, and I gave you \$10,000 cash, one or both of us is  
21 probably gonna go to jail. But if I'm a corporate executive and you're a senator, and I give you IPO  
22 shares in stock and over the course of one day that stock nets you \$100,000, that's completely  
23 legal."

24  
25           Plaintiff had a social relationship with the staff of former House Speaker Nancy Pelosi. She  
26 and her husband have participated in at least eight IPOs. One of those came in 2008, from Visa,  
27 just as a troublesome piece of legislation that would have hurt credit card companies, began  
28 making its way through the House. Undisturbed by a potential conflict of interest the Pelosis

1 purchased 5,000 shares of Visa at the initial price of \$44 dollars. Two days later it was trading at  
2 \$64. The credit card legislation never made it to the floor of the House.

3 Congresswoman Pelosi also declined our request for an interview, but agreed to call on us  
4 if we attended a news conference.

5 Kroft: "Madam Leader, I wanted to ask you why you and your husband back in March of  
6 2008 accepted and participated in a very large IPO deal from Visa at a time there was major  
7 legislation affecting the credit card companies making its way through the-- through the House."

8 Nancy Pelosi: "But--"

9 Kroft: "And did you consider that to be a conflict of interest?"

10 Pelosi: "The-- y—uh--, errr-- I-- I don't know what your point is of your question. Is there  
11 some point that you want to make with that?"

12 Kroft: "Well, err...uhm...I-- I-- I guess what I'm asking is do you think it's all right for a  
13 speaker to accept a very preferential, favorable stock deal?"

14 Pelosi: "Well, we didn't", she lied

15 Kroft: "You participated in the IPO. And at the time you were speaker of the House. You  
16 don't think it was a conflict of interest or had the appearance--"

17 Pelosi: "No, it was not--"

18 Kroft: "--of a conflict of interest?"

19 Pelosi: "--it doesn't-- it only has appearance if you decide that you're going to have--  
20 elaborate on a false premise. But it-- it-- it's not true and that's that."

21 Kroft: "I don't understand what part's not true."

22 Pelosi: "Yes sir. That-- that I would act upon an investment."

23 Congresswoman Pelosi pointed out that the tough credit card legislation eventually passed,  
24 but it was two years later and was initiated in the Senate.

25 Many believe that, even though they are older than the hills, Nancy Pelosi and Dianne  
26  
27  
28

1 Feinstein never retire because they are they key conduits to the West Coast controlled Big Tech  
2 stock market payola bribes. The “Enterprise” won’t allow them to retire because it would break the  
3 whole Big Tech stock market bribery cycle. While it is unlikely that they are “drinking baby blood  
4 from Chinese labor camp work prison babies to stay alive so long”, as activists have claimed, these  
5 two are not allowed to retire by the “Enterprise” that profits from the Big Tech stock market bribes.

6 Pelosi: “I will hold my record in terms of fighting the credit card companies as speaker of  
7 the House or as a member of Congress up against anyone.”

8 Corporate executives, members of the executive branch and all federal judges are subject to  
9 strict conflict of interest rules. But not the people who write the laws.

10 Pelosi and Feinstein put laws in the operation of America, and Democracy, that specifically  
11 exclude THEM from the law...only them! Everyone knows that it is bad to rape sheep on the front  
12 steps of City Hall. Imagine how you would feel if you found out that fine print had been quietly  
13 added to The Constitution that said “No citizen may rape sheep in public....Except Nancy Pelosi  
14 and Dianne Feinstein!”. That is essentially what happened with the law around public officials and  
15 stock market payola! When Google, Elon Musk and Facebook are paying Senators off via the stock  
16 market, justice has fallen off a cliff!

17 Schweizer: “If you are a member of Congress and you sit on the defense committee, you are  
18 free to trade defense stock as much as you want to if you're on the Senate banking committee you  
19 can trade bank stock as much as you want and that regularly goes on-- in-- in all these  
20 committees.”

21 Brian Baird: “There should only be one thing in your mind when you're drafting legislation,  
22 ‘Is this good for the United States of America?’ That's it. If you're starting to say to yourself 'how's  
23 this going to affect my investments,' you've got-- you've got a mixed agenda and a mixed purpose for  
24 being there.”

25 Brian Baird is a former congressman from Washington state who served six terms in the  
26  
27  
28

1 house before retiring last year. He spent half of those 12 years trying to get his colleagues to  
2 prohibit insider trading in Congress and establish some rules governing conflicts of interest.

3 Baird: "One line in a bill in Congress can be worth millions and millions of dollars. There  
4 was one night, we had a late, late night caucus and you could kind of tell how a vote was going to  
5 go the next day. I literally walked home and I thought, 'Man, if you-- if you went online and made--  
6 some significant trades, you could make a lot of money on this.' You-- you could just see it. You  
7 could see the potential here."

8  
9 So in 2004, Baird and Congresswoman Louise Slaughter introduced the Stock Act which  
10 would make it illegal for members of Congress to trade stocks on non-public information and  
11 require them to report their stock trades every 90 days instead of once a year.

12 Kroft: "How far did you get with this?"

13 Baird: "We didn't get anywhere. Just flat died. Went nowhere."

14 Kroft: "How many cosponsors did you get?"

15 Baird: "I think we got six."

16 Kroft: "Six doesn't sound like a very big amount."

17  
18 Baird: "It's not, Steve. You-- you could have-- 'National Cherry Pie Week' and get 100  
19 cosponsors."

20 When Baird finally managed to get a congressional hearing on the Stock Act, almost no one  
21 showed up. It's reintroduced every session, but is buried so deep in the Capitol we had trouble  
22 finding congressmen who had even heard of it.

23 Kroft: "Have you ever heard of the Stock Act?"

24 Steve Palazzo: "The what?"

25 Kroft: "The Stock Act. Do you know anything about it?"

26 Congressman: "No."

27  
28 Kroft: "Congressman. Congressman. Congressman."

1           Congressman Quayle: “I haven't heard about that one yet.”

2           Kroft: “Have you ever heard of something called the Stock Act?”

3           Congressman Watt: “No.”

4           Male voice: “I've heard about, but not. I can't say it's an issue I've spent a lot of time on.”

5           Male voice: “I would have no problem with that.”

6           Kroft: “Okay.”

7           Male voice: “But then again I am a big fan of, you know, instant disclosure on almost  
8 everything.”

9           Kroft: “They're looking for co-sponsors.”

10          Male voice: “And yet, I've never heard of it.”

11          Baird: “When you have a bill like this that makes so much sense and you can't get the co-  
12 sponsorships, you can't get the leadership to move it, it gets tremendously frustrating. Set aside that  
13 it's the right thing to do, it's good politics. People want their Congress to function well. It still  
14 baffles me.”

15          But what baffles Baird even more is that the situation has gotten worse. In the past few years  
16 a whole new totally unregulated, \$100 million dollar industry has grown up in Washington called  
17 political intelligence. It employs former congressmen and former staffers to scour the halls of the  
18 Capitol gathering valuable non-public information then selling it to hedge funds and traders on  
19 Wall Street who can trade on it.

20          Baird: “Now if you're a political intel guy. And you get that information. Long before it's  
21 public. Long before somebody wakes up the next morning and reads or watches the television or  
22 whatever, you've got it. And you can make real-- real-time trades before anybody else.”

23          Baird says “its taken what would be a criminal enterprise anyplace else in the country and  
24 turned it into a profitable business model.”

25          Baird: “The town is all about people saying-- what do you know that I don't know. This is  
26  
27  
28

1 the currency of Washington, D.C. And it's that kind of informational currency that translates into  
2 real currency. Maybe it's over drinks maybe somebody picks up a phone. And says you know just to  
3 let you know it's in the bill. Trades happen. Can't trace 'em. If you can trace 'em, it's not illegal. It's  
4 a pretty great system. You feel like an idiot to not take advantage of it.” Public figures trading and  
5 manipulating the stock market are an “Enterprise” operating a Racketeering scam at the expense  
6 of citizens like Plaintiff.

7  
8 **The fact remains: Judges and public officials get paid political bribes, in the modern**  
9 **world, not with manila envelopes under a table at a bar but with COVERT DARK MONEY**  
10 **STOCK MARKET ASSETS!**

11 Recently the Supreme Court’s Roberts said “Judges Must be Ethical” after Over 100 U.S. Judges  
12 Were Caught Violating Ethics Rules ( [https://www.theepochtimes.com/supreme-courts-roberts-](https://www.theepochtimes.com/supreme-courts-roberts-says-judges-must-be-ethical-after-over-100-caught-violating-rule_4188383.html)  
13 [says-judges-must-be-ethical-after-over-100-caught-violating-rule\\_4188383.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/supreme-courts-roberts-says-judges-must-be-ethical-after-over-100-caught-violating-rule_4188383.html) )

14  
15  
16 The chief justice of the Supreme Court in his year-end report called on federal judges to **work hard**  
17 **to adhere to ethics rules after over 100 were caught violating a rule that requires judges recuse in**  
18 **any matter in which they have a personal financial interest.**

19  
20 Chief Justice [John Roberts](#) cited ([pdf](#)) a Wall Street Journal investigation that uncovered violations  
21 by 131 federal judges across 685 cases between 2010 and 2018.

22  
23  
24 The George W. Bush nominee portrayed the number of violations as small, noting that they  
25 represented less than three-hundredths of one percent of the 2.5 million civil cases filed in the  
26 district courts in years studied.

1 *“Let me be crystal clear: the Judiciary takes this matter seriously. We expect judges to adhere to*  
2 *the highest standards, and those judges violated an ethics rule. But I do want to put these lapses in*  
3 *context,” he said.*

4  
5 *The wording drew a response by James Grimaldi, one of the Journal investigators, who said his*  
6 *team investigated tens of thousands of cases, not 2.5 million.*

7  
8  
9 *“The Journal’s initial tally was an undercount; it was impossible because of the peculiarities of the*  
10 *judiciary’s financial-disclosure process and incomplete information available on case litigants,”*  
11 *Grimaldi wrote on Twitter, adding that Roberts’ comment “is technically accurate, the suggestion*  
12 *that we could review millions of cases is misleading.”*

13  
14 *The Journal said Friday that subsequent reporting raised the tally to at least 950 violations.*  
15 *Roberts also pointed out that most of the judges involved only had one or two identified violations,*  
16 *painting those instances as likely “unintentional oversights” while singling out judges who had*  
17 *more violations or said they didn’t know of the ethics rule. Each judge is schooled on ethical duties,*  
18 *Roberts noted.*

19  
20  
21 *“This context is not excuse. We are duty-bound to strive for 100% compliance because public trust*  
22 *is essential, not incidental, to our function. Individually, judges must be scrupulously attentive to*  
23 *both the letter and spirit of our rules, as most are. Collectively, our ethics training programs need*  
24 *to be more rigorous. That means more classtime, webinars, and consultations. But it also requires*  
25 *greater attention to promoting a culture of compliance, even when busy dockets keep judicial*  
26 *calendars full,” he added.*

27  
28

1 Roberts proposed utilizing technology to check whether stocks held by judges and litigants would  
2 raise questions, floating the possibility of obtaining fresh funding from Congress for the purpose.  
3 He also said that top federal legal experts are already working on addressing the problems,  
4 including enhancing the ethics training and refresher courses.

5  
6 Roberts pens a year-end report annually. Last year, he [praised](#) how federal courts performed  
7 during the COVID-19 pandemic.  
8

9  
10 **As an example: Many U.S. Judges Can Be Taken Out Of Office By Exposing Their Sex Crimes!**

11  
12 **A huge number of Judges have been charged with sex crimes because “Judges think they are**  
13 **untouchable, but they are not!!”**

14  
15 - NY Judge Bernstein Under Fire as All DNC Judges Re-Examine Their Pasts.  
16

17  
18 - US judge steps down after accusations of sexual misconduct  
19

20 A prominent U.S. appeals court judge announced his retirement Monday days after women alleged  
21 he subjected them to inappropriate sexual conduct or comments.  
22

23  
24 Judge Alex Kozinski of the 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals said in a statement that a battle over  
25 the accusations would not be good for the judiciary. He said he'll step down, effective immediately.  
26 The Washington Post reported last week that at least 15 women made allegations against Kozinski  
27 that go back decades. The allegations include inappropriate touching and lewd comments.  
28

1 Kozinski said while speaking in a "candid way" with male and female law clerks, "I may not have  
2 been mindful enough of the special challenges and pressures that women face in the workplace," the  
3 statement said. "It grieves me to learn that I caused any of my clerks to feel uncomfortable; this was  
4 never my intent. For this I sincerely apologize."

5  
6 Leah Litman, a law professor at the University of California, Irvine, told the Post that the judge  
7 talked about having just had sex and pinched her side and leg at a restaurant the night before they  
8 appeared together on a panel at her school in July.  
9

10  
11 Christine Miller, a retired U.S. Court of Federal Claims judge, said Kozinski grabbed her breasts  
12 during a car ride in 1986 after a legal community function in the Baltimore area. She said it came  
13 after she declined his offer to go to a motel and have sex.  
14

15  
16 A lawyer who was not identified said Kozinski approached her when she was alone at a legal event  
17 in Los Angeles in 2008 and kissed her on the lips and gave her a bear hug with no warning.  
18

19 The newspaper said the woman's husband confirmed the incident and said the couple didn't think  
20 they could do anything because of the judge's position.  
21

22 The Post reported last week that six former clerks or more junior staff members accused Kozinski of  
23 inappropriate behavior, including showing them pornography. Kozinski, 67, was chief judge of the  
24 9th Circuit, the largest federal appeals court circuit in the country, from 2007 to 2014. He is known  
25 for his irreverent opinions, and his clerks often win prestigious clerkships at the U.S. Supreme  
26 Court.  
27  
28

1 The 9th Circuit has opened a misconduct inquiry that was transferred Friday to the Judicial  
2 Council of the 2nd U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals in New York.

3  
4 Kozinski's retirement leaves five seats open on the 9th Circuit, with two more judges already having  
5 announced their intention to retire next year. That gives President Donald Trump potentially seven  
6 seats to fill on the largest and most liberal appeals court in the country.

7  
8  
9 Even if all those judgeships are filled, however, Democratic appointees still will maintain a healthy  
10 majority on the court with 17 of the 29 seats.

11  
12 **Robed in secrecy: How judges accused of misconduct and bribes dodge public scrutiny**

13  
14 Thousands of complaints are filed against judges every year, but very few result in discipline. Ethics  
15 experts say the time for states to transform the judiciary is now. Judges in political cases are very  
16 often bribed by U.S. Senators who are involved in the case. Bribery includes stock ownership.  
17 Some states investigating judges in judicial conduct complaints do not ever identify them publicly or  
18 the judges are later admonished privately.

19  
20  
21 [Erik Ortiz](#) reports that when litigants angered Michael F. McGuire, the county judge in New York  
22 state's Catskills region, he might hit them with "judicial contempt" and order them handcuffed, or  
23 in extreme cases, jailed for 30 days.

24  
25  
26 McGuire, elected in Sullivan County in 2011, did it on several occasions over the years without  
27 warning: to a man who asked the judge to recuse himself because the man said McGuire knew his  
28 son; to a mother who had an outburst when she felt ridiculed by the judge; and to a grandmother

1 who contested turning over her grandson to his allegedly abusive father.  
2

3 That wasn't his only concerning behavior, according to an [ethics complaint in 2018](#) filed by a state  
4 watchdog agency, which accused McGuire of berating court staff; making "undignified" comments,  
5 such as suggesting people in his court would date a "drug dealer" or a "slut"; presiding over cases  
6 in which his impartiality could be called into question; and representing family members and  
7 friends in personal cases. The watchdog, the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, said  
8 he "lacked candor" during its investigation.  
9

10  
11 For his pattern of "serious" judicial lapses, a state appeals court [agreed last year](#) that McGuire —  
12 who earned a salary of \$210,161 a year — be removed from the bench, the harshest sanction a  
13 judge can face. The public, however, had only learned about the ethics charges months before, in  
14 March 2020, more than a year and a half after McGuire was first served with the ethics complaint  
15 and when the appeals court said he had been notified of the commission's unanimous  
16 recommendation to have him punished.  
17

18  
19 McGuire ended up resigning in May 2020, but with another job already lined up — as [Sullivan](#)  
20 [County's head attorney](#), a position that he currently holds.  
21

22 McGuire did not respond to requests for comment. In his [resignation letter](#) last year, he wrote that  
23 "I am quite proud of our achievements" while on the bench and "deeply regret the issues that  
24 brought me before this Court."  
25

26 Joseph LaPiana, who came before McGuire in a family court case last year and is unable to see his  
27 1-year-old daughter as a result, said that "judges work for the public — we should know if they are  
28

1 being investigated for any misconduct.”

2  
3 But if McGuire’s misconduct violations had happened in a neighboring state like New Jersey,  
4 Pennsylvania or Vermont, the public would have been alerted earlier — at the outset of the filing of  
5 ethics charges.

6  
7 [WATCH: Judge yells at prosecutor over Kyle Rittenhouse cross-examination](#)

8  
9  
10 That difference in when the public is allowed to know about allegations against judges can differ  
11 broadly among states, with some allowing judges to go months or years before even credible  
12 complaints are in the open. With more than [100 million cases filed](#) in local and state courts every  
13 year, and judges exerting near-absolute power in deciding who [wins custody of children](#) to [who can](#)  
14 [get married](#) to [whether a person goes to jail](#), the public’s ability to scrutinize judicial conduct is  
15 crucial for transparency’s sake, and deserves as much attention as recent calls for [policing](#) and  
16 [prosecutorial](#) overhauls, judicial ethics experts argue.

17  
18  
19 Judicial misconduct “undermines confidence in our justice system,” said Susan Saab Fortney, the  
20 director of the Program for the Advancement of Legal Ethics at Texas A&M University School of  
21 Law.

22 [Secretive states](#)

23  
24  
25 Misconduct findings are a rare outcome in the judicial complaint process. Legal ethics experts say  
26 the minuscule share of judges punished every year isn’t necessarily indicative that all is well in the  
27 judiciary, but suggests a lack of accountability.

28

1 Each state has a [form of a judicial conduct commission](#) to which the public can file misconduct  
2 allegations against judges. Generally, it's up to that body, which can be made up of fellow judges,  
3 lawyers and laypeople, to determine if a complaint violates a state's [code of judicial conduct](#) —  
4 guidelines for judges to act with independence, integrity and impartiality. A judge's conduct inside  
5 of a courtroom as well as outside, [including on social media](#), can be subject to discipline.

### 6 7 [Judges misusing social media spark calls for reform](#)

8  
9  
10 A review by NBC News of various states' judicial conduct commission data from 2016 to 2020  
11 indicates thousands of complaints are filed across the United States annually, but about 1 percent  
12 of them result in judges being publicly disciplined or stepping down after an investigation is  
13 opened.

14 While these commissions maintain that most complaints are frivolous — for instance, a litigant is  
15 merely disgruntled over how a judge ruled — for a state to typically record zero public sanctions  
16 against judges sounds incredulous, said Robert Tembeckjian, the administrator and counsel of the  
17 New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct.  
18

19  
20 “It's highly unlikely that any state would have a judiciary that is so above reproach that year after  
21 year no one gets disciplined,” Tembeckjian said. “Even in places like New York, where we have  
22 very sophisticated judicial education programs, there are numerous cases every year.”  
23

24  
25 New York's commission, which oversees about 3,500 state and local judges, has received upward of  
26 2,000 complaints annually in the past five years, and each year, the state has sanctioned a judge or  
27 had a resignation for misconduct in one to two dozen cases. Other large states, such as California  
28

1 and Texas, sanction multiple judges every year.

2  
3 The level of transparency surrounding misconduct cases varies by state. Some that have reported no  
4 or few judges publicly sanctioned in recent years, such as Iowa, [Mississippi](#), [South Dakota](#) and  
5 [Wyoming](#), don't make cases public until the court or panel that decides discipline gets involved.

6 And in three states — Delaware, Hawaii and North Carolina — misconduct cases are only made  
7 public in the final stage of an investigation when a judge is to be punished.

8  
9  
10 In about two-thirds of states, however, the public can learn much sooner, such as when a judicial  
11 conduct commission first charges a judge with misconduct or when the judge responds to the  
12 allegations.

13  
14 States where information is kept under wraps argue that confidentiality is necessary for as long as  
15 possible to protect judges should they ultimately be cleared of wrongdoing. But it turns out that in  
16 some cases, depending on the type of transgression, judges can be privately admonished by other  
17 judges or sent warning letters, meant to jolt the offending judge into correcting their behavior.

18  
19  
20 NBC News found many states opt to reprimand judges privately more often than publicly. For  
21 instance, Pennsylvania filed formal charges against judges 17 times from 2016 to 2020, but issued  
22 private letters of warning or reprimand 172 times in that period.

23  
24  
25 A sweeping [Reuters analysis](#) in 2020 on judicial misconduct that examined thousands of discipline  
26 cases over a dozen years determined 9 out of 10 sanctioned judges were allowed to return to the  
27 bench.

28

1 “We have to recognize that oftentimes we have judges judging judges, and they’re ultimately in  
2 control and judging their own,” Charles Gardner Geyh, an Indiana University law professor who  
3 studies judicial conduct, said.

4  
5 [Related: ‘One disaster after another’: How a family court judge failed families](#)

6  
7  
8 Tembeckjian believes that states, including New York, should be as transparent as possible once  
9 there’s sufficient evidence to back up allegations against a judge, similar to how grand jury  
10 investigations are made public when an indictment is unsealed.

11  
12 Tembeckjian said he’d like to see his judicial conduct commission have the authority to suspend a  
13 judge during an investigation, like other states’ commissions can do, and continue investigating a  
14 case even after a judge resigns. Such changes, however, would require the approval of the New  
15 York Legislature.

16  
17  
18 Ultimately, ensuring that judges are being rightfully held accountable is essential since guidance  
19 from the U.S. Supreme Court allows them to be largely immune from lawsuits for acts done in their  
20 official capacity, Tembeckjian said.

21  
22  
23 “If there’s no sense that you can get a fair shake by going into a court of law and have confidence  
24 that the judge is going to be neutral and fair and apply the law honestly and responsibly, it’s  
25 ultimately going to lead to anarchy,” he added. “Then, why not just settle our disputes in the streets  
26 rather than a court of law?”

27 [Making them pay](#)

28

1 Efforts are underway to enact meaningful judicial reforms at various levels. On Dec. 1, the U.S.  
2 House of Representatives overwhelmingly passed bipartisan legislation aimed at requiring federal  
3 judges to report their financial holdings in response to a [Wall Street Journal investigation](#) that  
4 found 131 federal judges had broken the law and violated judicial ethics by hearing cases in which  
5 they had a financial interest. A similar bipartisan Senate bill is pending.

6  
7  
8 On the state side, the Supreme Court of Louisiana last month [expanded its rules](#) against errant  
9 judges when it tacked financial burdens onto the disciplinary process. Not only can judges be made  
10 to pay for the cost of investigations if discipline is recommended, but they can be ordered to repay  
11 the cost of installing a replacement judge. And if a judge decides to retire or resign before a formal  
12 disciplinary process concludes, they can still be required to pay investigatory costs.

13  
14 [Related: What's a judge's moral standard? Allegations of racism, sexism spotlight judicial](#)  
15 [misconduct](#)

16  
17  
18 The state's chief justice, John Weimer, said in a statement that the updated rules ensure that even  
19 retiring judges are "held accountable" and Louisiana taxpayers aren't on the hook for costs, which  
20 in recent investigations have been about \$2,000 to \$3,000.

21  
22 About a dozen other states, including [Arizona](#), [Colorado](#), [Florida](#), [Kansas](#), [Massachusetts](#), [New](#)  
23 [Hampshire](#) and [South Dakota](#), have similar cost recovery rules or impose fines on judges,  
24 according to the Center for Judicial Ethics at the National Center for State Courts, a nonprofit  
25 organization that seeks to improve the judiciary.  
26  
27  
28

1 Marni Bryson, a judge in Palm Beach County, Florida, faces a public reprimand, an unpaid  
2 suspension for 10 days and a fine of \$37,500 after the state judicial conduct commission said she  
3 was excessively absent from her duties over a four-year period, [records show](#).

4  
5 “I knew what I did was wrong ... I have a whole year to reflect and contemplate my actions.”  
6 said a suspended ohio judge

7  
8  
9 In New Hampshire, former Circuit Court Judge Julie Introcaso was ordered to pay her  
10 investigation’s cost, almost \$75,000. Introcaso [pleaded guilty last month to two counts](#) of tampering  
11 with public records and submitting false statements in connection to a child custody case in which  
12 she was friends with a lawyer.

13  
14 Janine Geske, a Wisconsin Supreme Court justice in the 1990s, said she’d like to see her state  
15 implement similar penalties, which might “encourage judges to take responsibility early on” if their  
16 behavioral violations are tethered to their finances.

17  
18  
19 Another option, Geyh said, is to make the payout of a judge’s pension contractually contingent on  
20 good behavior.

21  
22 Ethics experts say citizen judicial watchdog programs known as [court watchers could be effective](#),  
23 but it’s also incumbent upon other courtroom staff and officials who witness a judge’s poor  
24 conduct, particularly lawyers, to speak up. They may be reticent to file complaints, however,  
25 because they’re afraid of retaliation if a judge learns they were behind the allegations, Fortney, the  
26 legal ethics expert at Texas A&M, said.  
27  
28

1 “A large percentage of states require that the complaining party be identified,” she added. “This  
2 clearly chills reporting.”

3 [‘I don’t trust any judge’](#)

4  
5 But there have been cases where lawyers and [court staff](#) aren’t afraid to stand up to a jurist.

6 Ohio’s highest court last month suspended a 19-year municipal court judge, [Mark Repp](#), for one  
7 year without pay after prosecutors in Seneca County relayed how he had ordered a 20-year-old  
8 woman who was sitting quietly in the back of his courtroom to watch her boyfriend’s hearing get  
9 drug tested. When she refused, he sentenced her to 10 days in jail.

11  
12 An investigation found that the woman was forced to take pregnancy tests and undergo full-body  
13 scans for contraband, although none was detected. And while Repp assumed the woman was under  
14 the influence of narcotics, there was no evidence indicating she was, and she had never been  
15 charged with drug-related offenses.

16  
17  
18 In a recent interview, Repp told NBC News that he has been concerned by the growing rate of  
19 overdose deaths in his community, and, in dealing with thousands of cases before him each year, he  
20 must “come up with some kind of decision that follows the law and also is appropriate under the  
21 circumstances.”

22 “I knew what I did was wrong,” Repp said. “I’ll try to make amends on that, and I have a whole  
23 year to reflect and contemplate my actions.”

24  
25  
26 But it wasn’t the only time Repp, who is up for re-election in 2025, has faced criticism.

27

28

1 *“Imagine someone sitting in court for the first time, and now they think it’s what the judicial system*  
2 *is like,” said John Kahler II, a lawyer who once accused the judge of being biased against a client*  
3 *and unsuccessfully tried to get him disqualified from the case.*

4  
5 *One woman who appeared before Repp in August did file a complaint to say he labeled her a*  
6 *“known meth user” in open court, which she wrote made her feel “very embarrassed by Repp’s*  
7 *conduct and false accusations.” Repp said the complaint process in Ohio is a “good one” because*  
8 *the public does learn about judges accused of misconduct early on.*

9  
10  
11 *But Ana Petro, who was in Repp’s court for a traffic violation this year, doesn’t believe his*  
12 *suspension can remedy how he made her and others feel: worthless. A reckoning throughout the*  
13 *judiciary is needed, she said.*

14  
15  
16 *“I understand it’s not a judge’s job to be nice, but when he’s abusing his power to be a judge, that’s*  
17 *when I have a problem,” Petro said. “And I don’t trust any judge at all because of him.”*

18  
19 *In another of thousands of points of proof that Judges are often just as bad as the criminals before*  
20 *them:*

21  
22 *A Long Island judge who police say repeatedly broke into his neighbor's home to steal her*  
23 *underwear has confessed to snatching panties on multiple occasions, even though he has pleaded*  
24 *not guilty.*

25  
26  
27 *Still, Suffolk County District Judge Robert Cicale has been removed from the bench and is facing up*  
28 *to 15 years in prison.*

1  
2 Cicale was *arrested on burglary charges* and appeared in court Friday morning.

3  
4 *The judge is a married father of three young children, and he is accused of sneaking into a home*  
5 *across the street and stealing the underwear of a 23-year-old woman who lives there with her*  
6 *parents. He reportedly knew the girl from when she worked as an intern at the Islip Town Attorney's*  
7 *Office, when he used to work there.*

8  
9  
10 *In his confession, he said he stole the underwear upon feeling "urges." He admitted that on several*  
11 *occasions, he entered the home, opened her hamper and took underwear.*

12  
13 *Cicarle was taken into custody after an incident that happened around 9 a.m. Thursday, when the*  
14 *young woman was alone. Prosecutors said she was sleeping but woke up when she heard the door*  
15 *open. She called out, "Hello?" and that's when she saw Cicale at the doorway.*

16  
17  
18 *Authorities say he turned around and ran away, and the victim closed and locked the door and*  
19 *called her mother, who called 911. Responding officers say they saw Cicale walking up to a*  
20 *different house and pretending to knock on the door.*

21  
22 *They approached him because he matched the description of the person the victim described. They*  
23 *reportedly found several pairs of soiled women's underwear on him, which the victim identified as*  
24 *her own.*

25  
26  
27 *Cicale has written letter of apology to victim and also provided a written confession.*

28 *Cicale is accused of stealing his female neighbor's underwear.*

1  
2 *"This is highly disturbing," Suffolk County District Attorney Tim Sini said. "This is an individual*  
3 *who swore to uphold the law. He violated it in a very serious way. The message here from both the*  
4 *Suffolk County Police Department and the Suffolk County District Attorney's office is that no one is*  
5 *above the law, and we'll prosecute this case to the fullest extent of the law."*

6  
7  
8 *A Nassau County district judge presided over Cicale's case to prevent a conflict of interest. Cicale*  
9 *is expected to receive mental health treatment.*

10  
11 *"His reputation throughout the court is stellar," defense attorney William Wexler said. "Every*  
12 *judge, every lawyer respects him, and we just have to see how the process plays out."*

13  
14 *Wexler went on to say that the judge's wife is standing by her husband through this process.*

15  
16  
17 *Cicale was ordered held on \$50,000. Cicale was "temporarily relieved of his judicial duties" and*  
18 *the matter was referred to the state's Court of Appeals to determine whether to suspend the jurist,*  
19 *court system spokesman Lucian Chalfen said.*

20  
21 *A judge also issued a restraining order that prevents Cicale from contacting the victim and, as a*  
22 *condition of his bail, Cicale will also be required to wear a GPS monitor, Sini said.*

23  
24  
25 *Neighbors were shocked when they learned of the judge's arrest.*

26  
27 *"From what I heard, it's a little perverted maybe," neighbor William Bloom said. "And that never*  
28 *makes sense to me."*

1  
2 *Cicale is a graduate of St. John's Law School, a former legal aide attorney and a former Islip Town*  
3 *Attorney elected to the District Criminal Court in 2016.*

4  
5 *"He's a family man, he's always outside playing basketball with his kids," neighbor Jay Mocer*  
6 *er said. "He's always jogging. He's always friendly to everybody in the neighborhood."*

7  
8  
9 *(The Associated Press contributed to this report.)*

10  
11 *What's a judge's moral standard? Allegations of racism, sexism spotlight judicial misconduct*  
12 *Probate Judge Randy Jinks has mostly denied the allegations by employees. The case spotlights*  
13 *how Alabama handles ethics complaints against judges.*

14  
15 *Probate Judge Randy Jinks faces more than 100 allegations in a scathing complaint. [Erik Ortiz](#)*  
16 *goes on to explain:*

17  
18  
19 *Since he was sworn into office in January 2019, Probate Judge Randy Jinks of Alabama has had a*  
20 *central role in the most significant moments of people's lives in Talladega County, about 50 miles*  
21 *east of Birmingham. Jinks, the county's chief election official, has overseen adoptions and*  
22 *guardianships, mental health commitments and the issuing of marriage licenses.*

23  
24  
25 *Behind the scenes, employees accuse Jinks, 65, of cultivating a toxic and hostile workplace that*  
26 *undermined the integrity of his office.*

27  
28

1 More than 100 allegations were outlined in a scathing [78-page complaint](#) issued in March by the  
2 Judicial Inquiry Commission, the state body that reviews complaints against judges, detailing racist  
3 and sexist conversations that employees claim Jinks initiated, including talking about pornography  
4 and a video of a woman doing a striptease. Some allege that he made disparaging remarks about  
5 George Floyd, the Black Lives Matter movement, Black people who came into the office and the  
6 office's sole Black employee.

7  
8  
9 Some employees also allege that Jinks, who is white, used profane language and threw tantrums,  
10 once going on a tirade after his sandwich went missing from a refrigerator, and that he tried to use  
11 the power of his position to get or grant favors.

12  
13 The complaint, which is based on interviews with current and former employees of the Talladega  
14 County Probate Office, accuses Jinks of exhibiting a pattern of behavior "that has created a  
15 difficult, unprofessional, and inappropriate atmosphere," which has "injured respect for the  
16 judiciary."

17  
18  
19 Jinks is accused of violating the [state Canons of Judicial Ethics](#), the guidelines that say judges must  
20 uphold the honor of the judiciary, maintain decorum and avoid impropriety. He has denied the  
21 majority of the accusations, saying some of the incidents have been taken out of context, and he is  
22 fighting the allegations in the Alabama Court of the Judiciary.

23  
24  
25 Jinks was suspended in March and will remain suspended until the court decides whether the claims  
26 warrant punishment, including a longer suspension or removal from office. No trial date has been  
27 set.

28

1 "I am a decent person," Jinks said [in an interview](#) on local television station WOTM in March. "I  
2 am very respectful around women. I do not use racial slurs. I do not go on tirades in office. I do get  
3 mad if somebody steals my food." In a [44-page answer to the complaint](#) filed in April, Jinks denies  
4 "any inappropriate demeanor regarding African Americans" and said the complaint "contains  
5 *flagrant, false, vague and subjective accusations.*"  
6

7  
8 *The case, which continues, has put a spotlight on how often complaints against judges in the state*  
9 *are reviewed and whether the mechanism to punish judges for misconduct or ethics violations is*  
10 *sufficient.*

11 *"In the past, there have been individuals on the Judicial Inquiry Commission that have had a less*  
12 *strict view of judges' adhering to the rules, and they simply were not really open to removing*  
13 *judges," said Sue Bell Cobb, who retired as chief justice of the Alabama Supreme Court in 2011*  
14 *and has [advocated for reforms](#). "Judges should be held to a higher standard. End of story."*

15  
16  
17 ['Grossly inappropriate demeanor'](#)  
18

19 *Jinks won his probate judge race in November 2018, defeating his Democratic opponent by more*  
20 *than 5,000 votes and becoming the first Republican elected to the office in Talladega County.*  
21

22 *Probate judges are elected to six-year terms in Alabama, and nearly every county, including*  
23 *Talladega, doesn't require them to have law degrees or to be lawyers, unlike probate judges in most*  
24 *other states. Jinks is a former program director for the Alabama State Parks, and he had worked on*  
25 *the campaign of Alabama Gov. Bob Riley, who left office in 2011.*  
26

1 "I felt the time was right," Jinks [told The Daily Home newspaper](#) of Talladega in 2018 about why he  
2 ran for the judgeship. "The man upstairs wanted me to do this."

3  
4 According to the Judicial Inquiry Commission's complaint, which identifies employees only by their  
5 initials, Jinks' "grossly inappropriate demeanor" didn't begin immediately; instead, it ramped up  
6 about six months into his judgeship.

7  
8  
9 The employees say he would mouth a racial slur "on occasions" to his deputy chief clerk when he  
10 was referring to a Black person. One time, after a Black couple had been in the office to fill out a  
11 marriage certificate, he said, "What did their Black asses want?" an employee recalled, according  
12 to the complaint.

13  
14 He is also accused of having spoken disparagingly about the Black Lives Matter movement and the  
15 protests that erupted across the country after [Floyd](#) was killed in police custody in Minneapolis a  
16 year ago.

17  
18  
19 Employees say he commented that "I don't see anything wrong with the police killing him" and that  
20 "he pretty much got what he deserved."

21  
22 Jinks would also play uncensored videos of racial justice protests that included racial slurs and  
23 profane language loud enough that they could be "heard by others outside his office, including any  
24 customers at the front counter," according to the complaint.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Employees say that last June, when news reports surfaced that Bubba Wallace, the only Black  
2 driver in NASCAR's top series, [had found a noose](#) in his garage stall at Talladega Superspeedway,  
3 Jinks commented that Wallace was "just playing the Black card."  
4

5 The only Black employee among the nine or so people who worked under Jinks at the probate office  
6 says in the complaint that Jinks would aim racist and unprofessional comments at him.  
7

8 "He was a wolf in sheep's clothing," the man, Darrius Pearson, who joined the office as a clerk in  
9 2018 under the previous probate judge, told NBC News. Pearson said that he had even voted for  
10 Jinks but that after months of humiliating and withering comments, he quit in November and wanted  
11 to come forward publicly.  
12

13 Pearson said that in May 2019, Jinks saw his new car and said that he, as a judge, couldn't afford  
14 one. "What are you doing? Selling drugs?" Jinks said, according to Pearson.  
15

16  
17 Last September, Pearson returned to work after a trip to the post office about the same time that  
18 students from Talladega College, a private historically Black college, were marching in support of  
19 Black Lives Matter. He said Jinks asked him repeatedly whether he had joined the demonstration.

20 "I don't want nothing to have to happen to your job, you out there marching — marching 'Black  
21 Lives Matter' during county time," Jinks said before he walked off laughing, according to Pearson  
22 and the complaint.  
23

24  
25 The complaint says employees felt uncomfortable and embarrassed by Jinks' "inappropriate  
26 demeanor" toward Pearson and other Black people.  
27  
28

1 Jinks, who is married with a daughter, is also accused of using demeaning language about women  
2 and talking openly about sex. Pearson said that in July, around the time of a Republican runoff  
3 election in Alabama's U.S. Senate race, Jinks showed him a video of a woman doing a striptease  
4 while they were in an election room.

5  
6 Pearson said he told Jinks he didn't want to look at the video.

7  
8  
9 Another time, Jinks told a female employee: "I like porn. Don't you?" according to the complaint.  
10 He is also accused of having commented about an employee's breasts and stared at her body, as  
11 well as having made other female employees uncomfortable. Employees said he also routinely  
12 commented about the physical appearance of female lawyers and spoke derisively about women  
13 with tattoos or about their body size.

14  
15  
16 "Don't ever marry a woman. She'll get fat," he said after he saw a photo of a female employee in  
17 her wedding dress, according to the complaint. Jinks' comments about the employee's weight were  
18 "so prevalent as to give her the impression that her weight matters more to him than her work  
19 performance," the complaint said.

20  
21 Pearson, who had been the only male employee in the office, said Jinks gave him a birthday card in  
22 September featuring a cartoon cow and donkey and the message "Thought you'd like to see some  
23 teats and ass on your birthday!" The card, which was shared with NBC News, is signed, "Have a  
24 Great B'day. Randy."  
25

1 Other employees refused to sign it, according to Pearson and the complaint. Jinks didn't deny  
2 having given the card but said in his response to the commission that "office humor has been  
3 overblown."

4 The complaint also says Jinks' county-owned cellphone was used to look at a website that sold sex  
5 products and to view provocative photos of women. It says he lent the phone to a felon whom he met  
6 when she was waitressing.

7  
8  
9 In addition, the complaint details allegations accusing Jinks of having abused the prestige of his  
10 judicial office by asking a prosecutor in a neighboring county to help the felon and release her  
11 early from her sentence on a narcotics-related charge.

12  
13 The district attorney denied the request, saying it was inappropriate, according to the complaint,  
14 which said that Jinks tried to solicit the help of attorneys who appeared before his court to get the  
15 felon an early release and that he eventually succeeded.

16  
17  
18 Amanda Hardy, Jinks' attorney, said that the complaints were "concocted by a few disgruntled"  
19 employees and that the commission's complaint "fails to mention all exculpatory evidence and  
20 testimony." She said allegations that Jinks is racist were "fabricated to generate antagonism with  
21 the public, the Court of Judiciary, and the media."

22  
23  
24 ['He thought he couldn't be touched'](#)

25  
26 In his response to the allegations, Jinks mostly denied what employees told the Judicial Inquiry  
27 Commission, according to the complaint.

28

1 He specifically denied the disparaging remarks about staring at women's bodies in the office and in  
2 court, as well as talking about body weight, among other accusations. He also said he couldn't  
3 remember certain actions he is accused of, such as telling an employee that he likes porn,  
4 according to the complaint.

5  
6 He also denied having made remarks about Floyd.

7  
8  
9 "The Respondent believes ... that there exists no excuse for the killing of George Floyd, that  
10 watching the video is sickening, unconscionable, inhumane and horrifying," Jinks said in written  
11 answers to the complaint. In regard to Pearson's version of events about Black Lives Matter  
12 protests, Jinks "adamantly denies any communication, implied or expressed, that Mr. Pearson  
13 should not participate in any way with the Black Lives Matter march or the like."

14  
15 Jinks also told the commission that if he did make certain comments, they were in a personal and  
16 private capacity, and that employees were eavesdropping or should have asked him to shut his  
17 door.

18  
19  
20 [Colorado judge resigning after censure for racial slur](#)

21  
22 He said that comments people say they heard may have been taken out of context or were  
23 misunderstood jokes and that if he had been told that something was "racially or sexually  
24 insensitive and offensive," he would have "responded in a serious manner." Jinks didn't deny the  
25 interaction involving the striptease video that Pearson said he was shown, but he told the  
26 commission that it was played for three seconds or less.  
27  
28

1 In his written response, he said that "sharing the video amounted to a lapse in judgment, the  
2 significance of which has been exaggerated."

3  
4 Jinks denied having asked for favors to help a felon, saying in his answer to the complaint that his  
5 helping her "was purely a ministry, in which no appearance of impropriety and/or expectation of  
6 judicial favor can reasonably be inferred."  
7

8  
9 Pearson said the complaints were a collaborative effort by employees who feared retaliation for  
10 speaking out but were fed up with Jinks.  
11

12 "He is very arrogant, pompous, and he thought he couldn't be touched," Pearson said.  
13

14 The complaint doesn't specify any grievances or allegations made by litigants, attorneys or  
15 members of the public, and it doesn't say any of Jinks' rulings were affected by his alleged  
16 misconduct.  
17

18  
19 Still, Jenny Carroll, a professor at the University of Alabama School of Law, said that it matters  
20 how judges behave outside the courtroom and in front of office staff and that comments that appear  
21 to be inappropriate can call into question how they came to rulings.  
22

23  
24 "What if people coming before you are women and Black? If they don't get the outcome they  
25 wanted, they'll be wondering was it because their claim wasn't strong enough or perhaps the judge  
26 carries explicit biases," Carroll said. "The bottom line is it's going to raise doubts in people's  
27 minds."  
28

Most complaints dismissed

1  
2  
3 *The nine-member state Judicial Inquiry Commission, which is made up of judges, lawyers and*  
4 *private citizens, gets scores of complaints about judges every fiscal year, many of which fail to rise*  
5 *to the level of formal charges to be filed with the Court of the Judiciary, which is also a mix of legal*  
6 *professionals and laypeople.*

7  
8  
9 *The commission said it reviewed 174 complaints against judges in fiscal year 2018 and dismissed*  
10 *132 of them without investigation, citing reasons such as that there was no reasonable basis to*  
11 *charge, that no ethical violation was determined or that a case wasn't within its jurisdiction. Of 18*  
12 *investigations that were completed, all of the related complaints were dismissed, the commission*  
13 *said.*

14  
15  
16 *Ultimately, the commission filed no charges against judges in the Court of the Judiciary during*  
17 *fiscal year 2018. Cases are often settled with judges before trials are held, and judges may decide*  
18 *to retire or resign to avoid public scrutiny, Carroll said.*

19  
20 *In rarer cases, judges are removed from the bench. That happened to [Roy Moore, the former state](#)*  
21 *[chief justice](#), who was ousted twice for defying federal court orders. Moore's appeals were rejected.*

22  
23  
24 2014: Roy Moore said what?

25  
26 *The commission didn't immediately respond to requests for further information. Chairman Billy*  
27 *Bedsole, a lawyer, also didn't immediately reply to requests for comment.*

28

1 Cobb, the former state chief justice, said changes are needed in the complaint process so people are  
2 comfortable coming forward. Currently, she said, the commission's process is too lenient toward  
3 judges, who are notified and kept apprised of investigations and also get copies of subpoenas given  
4 to witnesses.

5  
6 Cobb said that gives judges the opportunity to use their influence and potentially put pressure on  
7 people to dissuade them from filing complaints for fear of retaliation.  
8

9  
10 She said reforms should include doing away with notifying judges about who filed complaints and  
11 directing more state funding toward the Judicial Inquiry Commission so investigations don't have to  
12 languish and all complaints can be fully reviewed, not just the most egregious cases.  
13

14 Carroll said that even after a judge who clearly violated ethical standards is removed, other issues  
15 deserve scrutiny, such as identifying cases in which people were the victims of unfair and impartial  
16 rulings and offering remediation.  
17

18  
19 "Getting problem judges off the bench is only a piece of the problem," she said.

20 *Contra Costa Superior Court Judge Steven Austin Aided & Abetted Real Property Theft*

21 *....Says Whistle Blower Architect*  
22

23  
24 ***Contra Costa County Superior Court Judge Steven Austin Aided & Abetted Real Property Theft***  
25 ***with the help of Assessor Gus Kramer & Other Public Officials***  
26

27 A local vetted source has provided a complaint filed with District Attorney Diane Becton on June  
28 14, 2018, demanding a criminal investigation of several public officials including Gus Kramer and

1 *Martinez Superior Court Judge Steven K. Austin. This complaint alleges that Judge Austin colluded*  
2 *with County officials by rigging a trial in an effort to steal real property from an Orinda resident.*  
3 *The verified complaint to the District Attorney describes how County and City of **Orinda** officials*  
4 *failed to enforce code violations on the property of an architect who committed horrific*  
5 *environmental crimes and zoning violations. This architect, with the help of City of Orinda and*  
6 *Contra Costa County officials, was able to double the square footage of his house and also change*  
7 *public records on the assessor's record to reflect the unpermitted additions. In early 2013, the*  
8 *County Assessor's office was notified by the whistleblower about the tampering of public records,*  
9 *but Gus Kramer refused to investigate.*

11  
12 *According to several forensic engineers and experts, this Orinda architect resorted to extreme*  
13 *tactics with reckless disregard for the environment in order to access the whistleblower's*  
14 *panoramic views and cheat on his property taxes. The architect's zoning violations also included*  
15 *exceeding setback and height limits, grading massive amount of dirt, destroying a ridge line,*  
16 *uprooting several landmark oak trees, and illegally building decking, structures, pouring concrete*  
17 *and piers next and on top of utility East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) drainage pipes.*  
18 *Further, this architect built nuisances on two neighboring properties and tampered with public*  
19 *records.*

21  
22 *The subject experts who reviewed the case documents are in agreement that environmental and*  
23 *zoning violations have placed the surrounding houses and safety of residents in danger of flooding*  
24 *and created a fire hazard in the Sleepy Hollow, Orinda neighborhood.*

25  
26  
27 *New evidence indicates that [recent press articles circulated by the Mercury News](#) may be heavily*  
28 *influenced by the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors. Such press alleges that **Gus Kramer***

1 engaged in sexual misconduct, leading to his private censoring. However, in view of the complaint  
2 to the District Attorney, it is questionable as to whether the new sexual misconduct allegations are  
3 indeed the true crux of Kramer's wrongdoings, or whether these accusations are intended to detract  
4 from the very serious criminal acts that are described in the June 14, 2018 complaint to the DA  
5 Becton about the Orinda property theft.

6  
7 [This article](#) states: "On June 18, **County Administrator David Twa** wrote to one of the accusers —  
8 associate appraiser Margaret Eychner — saying an independent investigator determined it was  
9 'more likely than not' that on several occasions in 2014 and 2015, Kramer 'made comments that  
10 were not appropriate in a workplace environment and that made you feel uncomfortable.' "

11  
12  
13 [08-05-2018 CCCounty Gus Kramer embroiled in another sex harassment probe \(Click Here\)](#)

14 It is highly suspicious that within three days after the whistleblower's complaint having been filed,  
15 David Twa resurrects alleged sexual misconduct by Kramer dating back to 2015. It is reasonable to  
16 infer that the county officials are giving Gus Kramer a get out of jail free card instead of allowing  
17 DA Becton to do her job by conducting criminal investigation of Gus Kramer, Judge Austin and  
18 others. Strangely enough, the whistleblower's complaint names David Twa being involved in aiding  
19 and abetting the theft of her property as well.

20  
21  
22 [Board of supervisors, not former DA Mark Petersen, axed the probe into Gus Kramer's criminal](#)  
23 [investigation. \(Click here for evidence\)](#)

24 —  
25  
26 The complaint to DA Becton states that the whistleblower tried to facilitate the architect to remove  
27 the encroaching nuisance that interfered with her privacy. The architect continuously promised to  
28 do so, but deliberately misled her for two (2) years. He then swiftly ambushed her by filing a lawsuit

1 claiming several baseless legal assertions including outright ownership and punitive damages for  
2 accusing him of building without permit.

3  
4 Before filing the lawsuit, the Orinda architect threatened “**either you agree to a lot line adjustment**  
5 **or I will drag you through the court for years to come and make you lose everything you have.**”

6 Public records show the whistle blower in 2012 involved county deputy director, **Jason Crapo**,  
7 county engineer **Thoam Huggett**, and former Orinda planning director, **Emmanuel Ursu**.

8  
9 However, in a meeting both Ursu and Thoam Huggett, threatened that the county would retaliate  
10 and reverse everything by coming after the whistle blower instead, if she didn’t stop complaining  
11 about the architect’s violations.

12  
13 Communications between the whistleblower and the County public officials and the Assessor’s  
14 office show a blatant refusal of public officials to investigate the architect’s violations. The Orinda  
15 city officials, however, opened a code enforcement investigation against the architect for  
16 encroaching onto another neighbor’s property. Incidentally, this other neighbor was an attorney  
17 with political aspirations.

18  
19 The whistleblower’s complaint to the District Attorney accuses the officials of selectively applying  
20 the rules and failing to prosecute white collar crime, as in this case clearly the violations didn’t  
21 apply to the Orinda architect. Also, when it came to opening a code enforcement the rules only  
22 applied to an elite group of people, attorneys and others who are politically well-connected.

23  
24  
25 Obstructed by the tax collector, Gus Kramer, and the Building Department’s refusal to investigate,  
26 the whistleblower sought help from the local police for unlawful trespass and property damage.

27 The police also refused to do their job, but assured her that she had every legal right to defend her  
28

1 property by removing the encroaching nuisance from her land. The police offered to protect the  
2 whistle blower and arrest the architect, if he interfered with the removal.

3  
4 Documented communications prove the whistle blower went so far as to call self-proclaimed tree-  
5 hugger career-politician Steve Glazer when he was the mayor of City of Orinda. **Steve Glazer**  
6 ignored serious California environmental code violations, reckless destruction of protected Oak  
7 trees, perjury and falsifying a permit committed by the architect's surveyor, Rick Humann and  
8 county deputy director Jason Crapo.

9  
10  
11 The architect was emboldened knowing one of his many attorneys, [H. Clyde Long](#), had inside  
12 connections with the Martinez judiciary, i.e. **Judge Steven K. Austin**. Attorney Long also serves as  
13 the chair of city of Lafayette's code enforcement appeal board and [advertises on his website](#) that he  
14 can help those with "city/county code enforcement." The complaint alleges that the architect had  
15 inside political influence with the municipal officials, the Assessor's Office and the Building  
16 Department to be able to unlawfully change the public records twice by increasing the square  
17 footage of his house, without any evidence of any permits to increase his house's size.

18  
19  
20 Indisputable evidence is provided of open judicial extortion committed by Judge Austin in  
21 voluminous trial transcripts involving a lawsuit filed by the architect in violation of zoning laws  
22 who is also cheating on his taxes, demanding an outright ownership of the whistleblower's land and  
23 the court preventing her free speech regarding his violations. Judge Austin's abuse of power and  
24 judicial misconducts and collusion to steal the whistleblower's property is captured throughout the  
25 trial transcripts:  
26  
27  
28

1 ***“THE COURT: So what they are saying is, as I understand from that side, the evidence that's***  
2 ***been developed would be that it's not relevant how big the house is even if it's more than what***  
3 ***it's supposed to be on the records of the city because it has nothing to do with the property line***  
4 ***dispute, and that it would be more prejudicial than probative to go into that because you'd make***  
5 ***them look bad because they built a house bigger than it's supposed to be, right?”***

6  
7  
8 ***“THE COURT: It sounds like you're just trying to say that it looks like they must have cheated***  
9 ***on their property taxes so they must be bad people” (RT.Vol.4P.168L-5-9).”*** ***”It's a difficult case,***  
10 ***in many respects and exactly how this property will be used, going forward, it's not going to***  
11 ***matter that much as long as they don't move back, but if they do move back, it's going to make a***  
12 ***big difference I think in terms of how the property will be used because of just the history. Okay***  
13 ***(RT.Vol.062414P.3301L.9-P.3302L8).”***

14  
15  
16 ***Dewey Wheeler (represented state farm insurance) openly made fun of Judge Austin's Kangaroo***  
17 ***trial and jury instructions at the expense of CoCoCounty tax payers when he appropriately called***  
18 ***the judicial council a dark hole.***

19  
20 ***However, the law is clear in stating that: The California Judicial Canon of Ethics prohibit a judge***  
21 ***from ignoring indisputable evidence of the commission of fraud, he has an outright duty to report***  
22 ***such fraud to law enforcement. Not to mention that the rules of ethics prohibit a litigant from using***  
23 ***the court system with “unclean hands”. On the record Judge Austin is allowing the architect and***  
24 ***his three attorneys to play fast and loose with his court and helped them to correct deficiencies in***  
25 ***their lawsuit by coaching them. The architect acted as though he owned Judge Austin's court.***  
26

1 Review of the trial transcripts by several legal experts show in no uncertain terms, this  
2 whistleblower's case is a posterchild example of thuggery run amok in a deeply-embedded  
3 incestuous web of municipal officials, the judiciary, attorneys and politicians. The whistle blower  
4 was retaliated against and thrust into a rigged court because she exposed the systemic corruption  
5 in the offices of the county of Contra Costa and the tax collector, Gus Kramer.

6  
7 Judge Austin on the record stated he found attorney misconduct entertaining when presented with  
8 evidence of witness intimidation, declaration tampering and obstruction of justice committed by  
9 three attorneys, **Clyde Long, Brandon Dooley and Dewey Wheeler** who represented State Farm  
10 Insurance. **David Miller of Moraga** was selected by Judge Austin as the discovery referee and paid  
11 by state Farm insurance. He too consistently ignored attorney misconduct and was actively  
12 engaged in legally abusing the whistle blower.

13  
14  
15 The lawsuit substantiates the architect's initial threats of his intent to use his political and judicial  
16 connections to steal her property and ruin her financially by dragging her through years of  
17 litigation. The court record shows that right from the inception the case was rigged. Judge Steven  
18 K. Austin admitted that he personally hand-picked the case because he found it to be interesting.  
19 Bolstering the existence of backroom dealing, Judge Austin just also happens to be a resident of  
20 Orinda and buddies with the architect's attorney Clyde Long. Additionally, the architect's  
21 homeowner's insurance is State Farm, Judge Austin's former employer.

22  
23  
24 The complaint alleges that **Judge Steven K. Austin** engaged in extortion by threatening that "if you  
25 do not give up your land for \$10K I will make you fall flat on your face and make you pay him,  
26 instead. A lot of money." The complaint also states Judge Austin and public officials concocted a  
27 plan to extort the whistle blower in agreeing to a lot line adjustment, through abusive litigation and  
28

1 *wasting taxpayer's money on allowing a white collar criminal to come to court with unclean hands.*  
2 *When she refused to relinquish her property rights, the officials with the help of Judge Austin*  
3 *retaliated. They schemed a plan to manipulate the trial to come up with a fake money judgement*  
4 *that the whistle blower could not pay. The judgment against the whistle blower ended up to become*  
5 *approximately about \$300,000, including additional fees.*

6  
7  
8 *The whistleblower demanded that local politicians and government agencies circumvent the*  
9 *retaliatory judgment, and involved the former DA Mark Petersen and other politicians such as*  
10 ***Mark DeSaulnier, Catharine Baker, John Garamandi, Dianne Feinstein, Kamala Harris,** and the*  
11 *Board of Supervisors of Contra Costa County, specifically Candace Andersen. None of the officials*  
12 *apparently did anything to stop the commission of the crimes by Contra Costa County officials.*

13  
14 *Reviewing the emails with public officials show the whistleblower engaged legal counsel who*  
15 *agreed to confront the City of Orinda officials regarding these officials' failure to perform their*  
16 *jobs and ignoring perjury committed by **Rick Humann**, the architect's surveyor. In the meantime,*  
17 *the whistleblower involved the County chair of planning commissioner, Duane Steele, who referred*  
18 *her to the Deputy Director of County Building Department **Aruna Baht**. Aruna Baht, upon*  
19 *reviewing the fraud documents, immediately assigned inspector **Joe Losado** to inspect the*  
20 *architect's house and tag it. Joe Losado admitted to the attorney that the architect did not have any*  
21 *permits on file.*

22  
23  
24  
25 *Inexplicably, however, Joe Losado refused to inspect the architect's house and engaged in*  
26 *threatening the attorney to back off or the county attorney, Sharon Anderson, would revoke his*  
27 *license and file a restraining order against his client.*  
28

1 In 2017, Mark Petersen had assigned the case to Steve Moawad, former DA, for public corruption.  
2 However, DA Moawad was prohibited to investigate Gus Kramer, Judge Austin and others at the  
3 behest of board of supervisors.

4  
5 [DA Moawad stated in writing that he was going to continue investigating Orinda officials for](#)  
6 [failure to open a code enforcement against the architect. Conspicuously, Steve Moawad left the](#)  
7 [DA's Office to become California Bar Chief Trial Counsel without concluding the case. Several](#)  
8 [series of emails prove the public officials were complicit in committing crimes against the whistle](#)  
9 [blower. \(Click Here\)](#)

10  
11 The court files demonstrate that the Board of Supervisors and Judge Steven Austin have committed  
12 egregious violations in order to silence the whistleblower and prevent the whistleblower from being  
13 able to seek appropriate remedy and redress. Judge Austin conducted an expensive jury trial in  
14 2013 in which the jurors were provided with manipulated instructions that biased the jury  
15 improperly. In addition, according to several jurors and the transcripts, Judge Austin gave them a  
16 jury instruction that was not part of the record. [Sample kangaroo trial transcripts](#)

17  
18  
19 Further, the County appears to have enlisted State Farm Insurance to hire a private investigator to  
20 follow and harass the whistleblower's daughter in another state for over two years. The  
21 whistleblower accuses Contra Costa County Supervisor **Candace Andersen** for failing to mitigate  
22 and offer appropriate redress. Incidentally, her husband [Philip Andersen works exclusively for](#)  
23 [State Farm Insurance as defense counsel.](#)

24  
25  
26 As stated in a law review [article by Orlando J. Villalba. "Slapping Criminal Speech; how Evolution](#)  
27 [of the Illegality Exception has Impacted California's Anti-Slapp Statute"](#), this type of lawsuit is  
28 deliberately brought to financially decimate its opponent. The true desire of the trespasser is to

1 cause delay and distraction. And to punish his/her opponent for standing up for him/herself. ([Click](#)  
2 [here for Villalba's article](#))

3  
4 CA Penal Section SCC. 518. State and Federal Statutes show the definition of the criminal offense  
5 of extortion as follows:

6  
7 *Extortion is the obtaining of property or other consideration from another, with his or her consent,*  
8 *or the obtaining of an official act of a public officer, induced by a wrongful use of force or fear, or*  
9 *under color of official right.*

10  
11  
12 *Years of articles published by the Mercury News show that a culture of “pay to play” is deeply*  
13 *embedded in Contra Costa County municipalities and its court system. At the very core of this*  
14 *crisis is Tax Collector and Assessor Gus Kramer and the Board of Supervisors. As illustrated by*  
15 *[Tom Lochner's article in May of 2008](#) “Building disputes near an end”, falsifying and forging*  
16 *documents in the Contra Costa County Building Inspection Department is apparently standard*  
17 *operating procedure. ([Click here for the article](#))*

18  
19  
20 *In 2011, the District Attorney's Office axed a probe investigating Assessor Gus Kramer for a*  
21 *financial scheme involving evading transfer taxes in shady land deals. It was just outright nixed—*  
22 *as if it never existed.*

23  
24  
25 *All of this was obviously known to the Board of Supervisors. Thomas Peele, Pulitzer award winning*  
26 *Journalist, exposed Gus Kramer in his article titled [“His Deal Deeds and Doubts.”](#) ([Click here for](#)  
27 [the article](#))*

28

1 Federal prosecutors have the authority and jurisdiction to hold judges accountable for their  
2 unlawful conduct by charging them with a federal crime.

3  
4 [Section 242 of Title 18](#) of the U.S. code — the so-called “color of law” statute — is the same  
5 federal civil rights legislation that Justice Department prosecutors use against Law enforcement  
6 officers who use excessive force and make false arrests. The law applies to prosecutors and judges  
7 too. However, the feds do not use it against judges.

8  
9  
10 Judges are responsible to apply the law and are held to the same standards as everyone else, and  
11 when judges flagrantly violate the law, there should be consequences for them as well.

12 Unfortunately, when judges are caught committing a crime, they are allowed to collect tax payer  
13 funded retirement packages and obtain work as mediators collecting \$500 hourly rates with  
14 corporate entities like ADR Services Inc.

15  
16  
17 Our News Group is a collaborative media organization with a focus on exposing public and judicial  
18 corruption, when main stream media fails. Please email us

19 ([californiaexposegroup@protonmail.com](mailto:californiaexposegroup@protonmail.com)) if you have additional information about this case or  
20 your rights have been violated by Judge Austin or parties involved or any other judge in the Contra  
21 Costa Superior Court in California.

22  
23  
24 **In another example one can see that “When The Judge Works For Your Political Adversary And  
25 Is Ordered To ‘Take You Out’ By The White House”, the rules of Court are abused, ie:**

26  
27 [KYLE CHENEY](#) says that Roger Sone's lawyers say, in particular, that Judge Amy Berman

28 Jackson's decision to assert that jurors in the case "served with integrity" strikes at the heart of

1 Stone's motion for a new trial, which they indicated is largely based on whether at least one juror  
2 was inappropriately biased against him.

3  
4 "Whether the subject juror (and perhaps others) served with 'integrity' is one of the paramount  
5 questions presented in the pending Motion," Stone's lawyers argued. "The Court's ardent  
6 conclusion of 'integrity' indicates an inability to reserve judgment on an issue which has yet been  
7 heard."

8  
9 Jackson made her remark during an impassioned rebuke of the arguments Stone's legal team  
10 offered during his trial. She said that Stone and his lawyers minimized the significance of his effort  
11 to frustrate congressional investigators as they sought to understand Russia's interference in the  
12 2016 election, a grave national security challenge.

13  
14 "Sure, the defense is free to say: So what? Who cares?" Jackson said. "But, I'll say this: Congress  
15 cared. The United States Department of Justice and the United States Attorney's Office for the  
16 District of Columbia that prosecuted the case and is still prosecuting the case cared. The jurors  
17 who served with integrity under difficult circumstances cared. The American people cared. And I  
18 care."

19  
20  
21 Stone was convicted last year on multiple counts of covering up to congressional investigators, as  
22 well as a count of witness intimidation for pressuring an associate to refuse to cooperate with  
23 Congress. Lawmakers sought Stone's testimony regarding his attempts to act as an intermediary  
24 between Wikileaks and the Trump campaign, but he repeatedly refused to tell House members about  
25 his multiple efforts to contact Wikileaks head Julian Assange, denying he had communications with  
26 certain associates that were later discovered to be voluminous.  
27  
28

1 It will also likely reach the receptive ears of the president, who has repeatedly amplified criticism of  
2 Jackson and repeated false claims about the nature of the charges against Stone.

3  
4 Trump's allies are agitating for the president to issue a pardon or commute Stone's sentence, and  
5 though Trump is widely expected to do so eventually, the timing is uncertain. Jackson also used her  
6 sentencing comments to underscore that Stone's overarching effort in impeding Congress was to  
7 protect Trump from scrutiny.

8  
9  
10 Jackson delivered her sentence Thursday but delayed it until after she considers Stone's motion for  
11 a new trial. Though the motion was filed under seal, Stone's team indicated that it will focus on a  
12 juror.

13 "Stone's Motion for New Trial is directly related to the integrity of a juror. It is alleged that a juror  
14 misled the Court regarding her ability to be unbiased and fair and the juror attempted to cover up  
15 evidence that would directly contradict her false claims of impartiality," his lawyers argued.

16  
17  
18 "The premature statement blessing the "integrity of the jury" undermines the appearance of  
19 impartiality and presents a strong bias for recusal," they added.

20  
21 According to the February 5th order issued by Judge Jackson, Roger Stone cited a problem with a  
22 juror, however his motion was denied.

23  
24  
25 The details of the juror are unknown because the order released Wednesday was redacted,  
26 however, [Roger Stone's defense team in November tried to strike down](#) several potential jurors who  
27 were overt Trump-hating leftists.

28

1 Several potential jurors in Stone's case ended up being Trump-hating, Obama-era officials who  
2 admittedly voted for Hillary Clinton in 2016 so Stone's lawyer's tried to strike them as potential  
3 jurors.

4 One of the potential jurors actually had a husband who worked in the DOJ and played a role in the  
5 Russian collusion hoax that ultimately took down Roger Stone — and Judge Jackson allowed her to  
6 remain as a potential juror!

7  
8  
9 Being that Amy Berman Jackson denied Stone's motion for a new trial last week, it occurred before  
10 the DOJ backed down from the excessive sentencing handed down by Mueller's thugs.

11 The order is about a potential issue with a juror and it's dated Feb. 5, so this all occurred before  
12 the resignations and withdrawals and Trump's intervention.

13  
14 — Kyle Cheney (@kyledcheney) [February 12, 2020](#)

15  
16  
17 Amy Berman Jackson is a corrupt liberal Obama judge who imposed a very strict gag order on  
18 Roger Stone even though he did nothing wrong.

19  
20 Stone was caught up in Mueller's abusive Russia witch hunt simply because he helped Trump win  
21 the White House in 2016.

22  
23  
24 Federal Prosecutors on Monday recommended Trump confidante Roger Stone serve 7-9 years in  
25 prison for process crimes during the Mueller witch hunt.

1 In a rare move, the Department of Justice backed down from its abusive sentencing  
2 recommendation for Roger Stone.

3  
4 All four prosecutors who signed Roger Stone's sentencing memo seeking an excessive prison term  
5 of 7 to 9 years resigned like cowards on Tuesday after AG Bill Barr stepped in and smacked them  
6 down.

7 Mueller's prosecutors and this corrupt judge made for a very toxic and abusive case against Roger  
8 Stone.

9  
10  
11 President Trump torched Demon Judge Amy Berman Jackson on Tuesday night.

12  
13 Is this the Judge that put Paul Manafort in SOLITARY CONFINEMENT, something that not even  
14 mobster Al Capone had to endure? How did she treat Crooked Hillary Clinton? Just asking!

15 <https://t.co/Fe7XkepJNN>

16  
17  
18 — Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump) [February 12, 2020](#)

19  
20 We [reported months ago](#) and [again in May 2018](#), that Obama appointed liberal activist Judge, Amy  
21 Berman Jackson, was assigned to the most important court case in US history, the Manafort case in  
22 the Trump-Russia hoax investigation.

23  
24  
25 Sadly, Judge Jackson has a horrible far left record on the bench. In 2013 Judge Jackson [rejected](#)  
26 [arguments from the Catholic Church](#) that Obamacare's requirements that employers provide cost  
27 free coverage of contraceptive services in spite of being contrary to their religious beliefs. This  
28

1 **was overturned by the Supreme Court.**

2  
3 **In 2017 Judge [Jackson dismissed the wrongful death suit against Hillary Clinton](#) filed by two of**  
4 **the families who lost loved ones in Benghazi.** The families argued that Clinton had done little to  
5 help their sons and then lied to cover it up.

6  
7  
8 Then on January 19, 2018, Paul Manafort's case was [reassigned to Judge Jackson on January 19<sup>th</sup>](#),  
9 a few weeks after being filed.

10  
11 **It is unknown how she was assigned to the Manafort case or by whom.** What is clear is that with  
12 her atrocious and slanted record to date, the Deep State and the Mueller team certainly wanted  
13 Judge Jackson overseeing the Manafort case.

14  
15  
16 On January 3, 2018, [we reported](#) that Paul Manafort filed a suit against the "Deep State" DOJ  
17 (Jeff Sessions), Assistant AG Rod Rosenstein and Corrupt Investigator Robert Mueller that  
18 should have shut down Mueller's corrupt investigation!

19  
20 **In another example the report: "Immigrant Who Became Hotshot Chicago DNC Judge**  
21 **Sentenced To Jail For \$1.4m Mortgage Fraud" shows that Judges veer to crime quite often, hen**  
22 **influenced:**

23  
24  
25 [Lukas Mikelionis](#) reports that Jessica Arong O'Brien, 51, broke down into tears after the judge sent  
26 her to prison after the federal jury convicted her in February of two counts alleging that she took  
27 part in a scheme in which several lenders were scammed. (Facebook)

28

1 The first Filipina judge in Cook County, Chicago, who came to the U.S. with almost nothing and no  
2 education, was sentenced on Thursday to a year in prison after being found guilty to participating  
3 in a \$1.4 million mortgage fraud scheme a decade ago.

4  
5 Jessica Arong O'Brien, 51, broke down into tears after the judge sent her to prison following her  
6 February conviction of two counts alleging that she took part in a scheme in which several lenders  
7 were scammed, the Chicago Tribune [reported](#).

8  
9  
10 She was convicted of lying to lenders to obtain more than \$1.4 million in mortgages on two  
11 investment properties that she sold while she owned a real estate company.

12  
13 O'Brien reportedly made money by selling the two homes in 2007 after paying kickbacks to a straw  
14 purchaser. Personally, she made a profit of at least \$325,000 from the sales, prosecutors said.

15  
16  
17 The lenders, meanwhile, lost money as the straw purchaser defaulted on payments and properties  
18 were foreclosed.

19  
20 Jessica Arong O'Brien with Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg. (Facebook)

21  
22 Prior to the sentencing, O'Brien said she was "an embarrassment" and said the scheme was a  
23 mistake. "Of course, I have remorse as to my stupidity," O'Brien said.

24  
25  
26 Her lawyer Steve Greenberg argued for probation, pointing to her true American dream story,  
27 where a Filipina immigrant, who came to the U.S. without anything, educated herself and became a  
28 judge.

1 According to the Tribune, after O'Brien came to the U.S., she earned degrees in culinary arts and  
2 restaurant management. She later went to John Marshall Law School, graduating in 1998.

3 With a law degree, she went on to become the first Asian elected president of the Women's Bar  
4 Association of Illinois and served on the board of governors for the Illinois State Bar Association.  
5 She also co-founded a group in 2008 that gives scholarships to law students from diverse  
6 backgrounds.

7 "It is an inspirational story. She has fallen as far as she can fall. She has lost everything. ... There is  
8 absolutely no reason to send this poor lady to jail."  
9

10  
11 — Lawyer Steve Greenberg

12 "It is an inspirational story," Greenberg said. "She has fallen as far as she can fall. She has lost  
13 everything. ... There is absolutely no reason to send this poor lady to jail."  
14

15  
16 But U.S. District Judge Thomas Durkin denied the request for probation, arguing that her fraud  
17 scheme wasn't just a mistake but a rather elaborate fraudulent scheme.

18 "This wasn't stupid," Durkin said, according to the newspaper. "This was a crime... You really  
19 didn't need to do this."  
20

21 "This wasn't stupid. This was a crime. ... You really didn't need to do this."  
22

23 — U.S. District Judge Thomas Durkin  
24

25 Prosecutors, meanwhile, used O'Brien's story to push for a harsher sentence, saying that she  
26 committed fraud despite not having the financial needs to do it.  
27  
28

1 After sentencing, O'Brien blamed family issues that prompted her to get into real estate business  
2 and reiterated that she acted foolishly.

3  
4 "Of all those things that everyone has told you about me, one thing was missing — stupid,"  
5 O'Brien, according to the Tribune. "I mean, seriously. This whole process is crazy. I can't put my  
6 hands on it."

7  
8 "I hope some day when I am six feet under, they will learn from what happened here," she added,  
9 hoping other lawyers will learn that they will be held to a higher standard.

10  
11 In another example, a website targeting corrupt judges, says: "...This is a movie about a [disturbed](#)  
12 [person](#), which happens to be exactly what occurred to me in real life. I grew up with a [psychopath](#)  
13 [\(con-artist, liar, fraud and master manipulator\)](#) who learned at an early age how to get what she  
14 wants by guilt trips or charming the weak. It's just an act, a guilt trip, a con job by a immoral beast.  
15 You see in public she pretends to be caring, kind and a good person. But those who see through her  
16 act and con job know what she really is. A monster that hides behind "I Care A Lot". I grew up  
17 with this beast that has no business walking around in the free world. Yet it's obvious I'm not alone  
18 these beasts are common. Worse they cheat and lie their way into roles of authority and power.  
19 People today don't care about the facts, evidence or truth they follow a fake image which is how  
20 these monsters survive. Down at the bottom of this page is an audio file which is an example of how  
21 this con-artist looks for weakness by saying how much she cares and when that does not work  
22 seconds later switches to who she really is "Don't make me pull the trigger because I really do love  
23 you". But it's all an act by a cold blooded psychopath. An insect who has no empathy or heart.  
24  
25  
26

27 Welcome to my world and how a child from birth destroyed her father, hated her brother because  
28 he was competition and used the court system, mail fraud, identity theft to embezzle \$200,000.00

1 from her own mother's bank accounts. Then force her mother into a conservatorship via a  
2 fraudulent petition based on perjury. How her husband who with his background as corporate  
3 coach, fired health provider CEO and CPA background helped find [corrupt lawyers](#) and judges to  
4 ignore evidence, elder abuse, fraud, perjury and full documentation of (2) missing bank accounts.  
5 All ignored by a [Judge Candace J Beason](#)

6  
7  
8 **America, LYING DOES PAY and very well. It's no longer about a GOOD lawyer that knows law**  
9 **but about finding a connected lawyer who can bribe the judge.** (This one happened to be the X  
10 President of the Pasadena Bar) and frequented the Judges dinners (conflict of interest?). In fact this  
11 same lawyer was found to feed cases to one of the most corrupt judges of [Los Angeles County](#)  
12 [Superior Court Probate department Judge Aviva K. Bobb](#) Who was exposed by a series of [Probate](#)  
13 [abuse articles by the Los Angeles Times](#) back in early 2000. Which the court "PRETENDED" to  
14 clean up but in fact just dug in deeper and allowed worse corruption. Judge Bobb and Pasadena  
15 Lawyer Philip Barbaro Jr. Would form a conspiracy to try and shut me up via a [fraudulent Police](#)  
16 [report](#) claiming I was terrorizing them by posting my opinion on the internet. I spent 3 days in jail  
17 then released stating I was only detained. Fact is police told me my version of what took place  
18 exposing a corrupt judge and lawyers appeared to be the truth because they could not find any  
19 other reason. But like all other authorities FBI, DOJ, APS, LAPD, Los Angeles Bar, California Bar  
20 no one did anything when provided with evidence. No one wants to think a judge could be a  
21 criminal or a lawyer who "pretends" to fight against elder abuse is a fraud. Like "I Care A Lot"  
22 the concept is LIE, put on a fake image, smile and pretend you're not who they say you are.  
23  
24  
25  
26 My version of events is "Factual, backed up by documents and court records" I've even got  
27 original emails from my sister back as far as 1995, cards, letter and audio recordings. Witness  
28 statements as well and missing bank account numbers which she claims never existed but in her

1 petition wastes no time claiming “if the court found \$200K in missing bank accounts” she blames  
2 her brother “Me” who was responsible. However never supplies one ounce of evidence or  
3 documentation. In fact the entire petition is based on hearsay, lies and perjured info by her and her  
4 [Glendale lawyer Christopher E. Overgaard](#) of La Crescenta CA who perjure his own petition in the  
5 case even going so far as to claim his lawyer partner Michael Jay was a PI and searched for me but  
6 could not find me. This is typical how probate courts operate.

7  
8  
9 Even the lawyer the court forces upon the person conserved works for the court but is paid by the  
10 conservator. Meet [Pacific Palisades PVP Violet M. Boskovich](#) who helped the conservator cover up  
11 her fraud, perjury and lies. Never represented her client and worked hard to keep her silent and  
12 away from appearing in court. Point is “It’s all about the money” Follow the money because the  
13 participants all are paid by the person made (in charge of the money). The actual owner has zero  
14 say, freedom, rights or control of anything. They’re made out to be mentally incompetent ([but in](#)  
15 [reality are over medicated](#)). The game is “Isolate, Medicate and Liquidate” while they smile all the  
16 way to the bank.

17  
18  
19 The Superior Court system in Los Angeles has become a joke for a city that has so much, yet so  
20 little, California is ranked F, at present and the Superior Court system here is next to third world as  
21 far as fraud, corruption and justice for profit. This system is denying its residents/Taxpayers their  
22 due process on so many levels, Banks, Escrow companies and title companies own the courts and  
23 they are attempting to own the land. Lawyers won't take a stand against these courts and their  
24 judges that's how bad it has become. Please do the right thing and investigate and replace those  
25 who don't want to play fair.

26  
27 Apr 4th, 2019

28 **Someone from San Gabriel, CA writes:**

1 My husband was an employee at Los Angeles Department of Warer and Power. He has a Ph.D. and  
2 has done exceptional job as a chemist for the department by training other employees. But because  
3 of the ongoing discriminatory and retaliatory actions against him for filing an internal grievance  
4 about the corrupt and discriminatory promotion process, he never got promoted even though he is  
5 only one of the few working there with a Ph.D. degree. He was physically assaulted by an assistant  
6 supervisor who got promoted ahead of him despite not having as much job experience and degree  
7 credential. Not only was he denied proper justice, he was further retaliated against with excessive  
8 amount of work, excessive monitoring with his supervisors' attempts to force him to commit errors  
9 with his work. When he was finally forced to make a mistake which could have been easily avoided  
10 had he not been piled so much work, he was denied the chance to correct the mistake (every  
11 employee is allowed to correct mistakes as part of the work protocol) and his mistake was grossly  
12 exaggerated to one that cannot be scientifically and evidentially supported. He is terminated  
13 because he was demoted to do the task of peeling labels and discarding sample waste which  
14 resulted in an additional workplace injury. When he reported this additional injury, he was  
15 immediately terminated with that supposed "mistake" that he so wanted to avoid and correct from a  
16 year ago. The Skelly Meeting and the city appeals both before a supposed arbitrator (Joseph Duffy)  
17 and some city commissioners went absolutely nowhere as they refused to consider any scientific  
18 evidence. They only consider what LADWP management colluded to say (a bunch of shameless and  
19 corrupt PERJURERS), as if a lie repeated many times by enough people, it would become the truth.  
20 LADWP went to strike our lawsuit down recently at the Superior Court. I have been following  
21 through this case for several years and the corruption and collusion within the whole judicial  
22 system is astounding. They have the appearance and facade of "due process" without the real  
23 substance. Everything they did was only formality. Whatever LADW managers and supervisors  
24 colluded to say (that is, to say only, WITHOUT EVIDENCE) becomes "evidence", but whatever  
25 real object scientific and forensic evidence we present with my husband being also an eyewitness is  
26  
27  
28

1 brushed aside. I guess if I bring over all of my friends and relatives from all over the globe and say  
2 LADWP supervisors murdered someone, it would become the truth just because there are enough  
3 people repeating the same accusation, then by all means I can lock all of these managers and  
4 supervisors up for murders. With the same logic, the judicial system in Los Angeles is such that they  
5 consider enough perjurers repeating the same false accusations or claims to be “good evidence”  
6 and so they used such “evidence” (whatever they collide together to defame and perjure) to  
7 wrongfully terminate my husband and continue to deny him justice. These “judges” and  
8 “commissioners” who hold law degrees or have studied law should be utterly ashamed of  
9 themselves for such blatant distortion of justice. We should call the judicial system in Los Angeles  
10 CORRUPTION SYSTEM.  
11

12 Aug 29th, 2016

13 **Someone from South El Monte, CA writes:**

14 I Lost my inheritance and my mom and our homes thanks to the court system I was never heard  
15 didn't have an attorney wrote letters filed forms because of how much they have to benefit from the  
16 elderly there the ones who win win it all them the attorney and fiduciary's judges when I'm  
17 reporting elder abuse and it's ignored but my mom is being taken for money when both  
18 conservators are passing out money and not to caregivers  
19

20 Mar 11th, 2017

21 **Patrick M. from Los Angeles, CA writes:**

22 The Los Angeles Superior Court is in my opinion a band of judicial gangsters, that are blatantly  
23 denying due process, ignoring valid evidence, violating the Constitution and extorting money from  
24 the people of Los Angeles all while being paid off by whichever party has the right price. as a pro se  
25 litigant I went up against a lawyer who claim to not be representing any of the defendants yet  
26 drafted every Motion in the case and they were all granted and my case was dismissed, even though  
27 the court had no jurisdiction as the defendant had no lawyer they had defaulted. A total joke...if you  
28

1 want justice stay away from these people. ...you have better luck dealing directly with the Mob.Dec  
2 6th, 2016

3 **Jose H. from Alhambra, CA writes:**

4 I totally agreed since i been emotionally financially and even mentally affected since i got so  
5 physical stressed knowing how this court will take my ex wife's side since she all the time was a step  
6 forward in our case and always hiring a lawyer that I couldn't afford for myself but i had all the  
7 time to pay for her attorney since I didn't have on attorney the judges never pay attention to me and  
8 side by side with her lawyer always loosing case by case i little by little lost it all this court left me  
9 empty pocket and even my part of the divorce was then giving wrongfully to my ex wife she stole she  
10 kicked me out of my own house took my part of the half and half assets and properties and all  
11 thanks to the her lawyer that was in a deal with the judge at each case my ex even failed to report to  
12 court over payments she fail to follow the court orders she trespass and stole from a property she  
13 gave false testimony and i reported all this to the court and to the judge and they ignored me cause  
14 they were no getting profits from me as with my ex because the lawyer soplits with the judge they  
15 move in a way that I couldn't believe i have another big story how the judge even moved a clerk  
16 from his desk then sent me to talk to a person who all she did was discouraged me from appealing  
17 the decision and only hand me the papers without helping me when the usual clerk helps people  
18 filling up the papers for people without attorney but this lady the judge sent one throw the papers to  
19 me in a very rude way please i need my case to be review as per i ended up on the streets thanks  
20  
21  
22

23 [PLEASE SIGN THE PETITION](#)

24 California is one of the most corrupt Judicial states in America today, from the days of 1996-2011

25 [Ronald M. George](#) who named his own successor [Tani Cantil-Sakauye](#).

26 Victims go into the millions with police, government and the courts in a huge cover up protecting a  
27 manipulated Justice system that is nothing about justice. The legal system in California is designed  
28

1 to destroy family, estates, children's lives and freedom via dishonest judges and lawyers controlled  
2 by a gang called the [ABA American Bar Association](#).

3 **Be sure to scroll down the page for info how to report and file complaints.**

4 Mar 13th, 2017

5 **Someone from Los Angeles, CA writes:**

6 Appellate court denies challenge to LA judges' 'double-dipping' practice Los Angeles County  
7 provides Superior Court judges more than \$46,400 each in annual benefits, including supplemental  
8 health and retirement benefits, while judges in other counties receive substantially fewer  
9 supplemental benefits or none at all. The legal fight began in April 2006, when Sturgeon filed suit in  
10 Los Angeles Superior Court asking that the county be stopped from paying benefits to judges  
11 because they were also receiving them from the state through legislation passed in 1997. Mr. Trump  
12 is right, the system is rigged.  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 • [Los Angeles Judges](#)

18 • [Alternative Resolution Center](#)

19 • [Judge Anthony B. Drewry](#)

20 • [Judge Armen Tamzarian](#)

21 • [Judge Aviva K. Bobb](#)

22 • [2005 Complaint filed against Judge Bobb in the Marshall Stern Case](#)

23 • [Aviva K Bobb ERASED PROOF](#)

24 • [FrumeHLabow.com ERASED PROOF](#)

25 • [JohnTRogersJr.com ERASED PROOF](#)

26 • [Ricky Ritch et al v. Aviva Bobb et al Central District of California, cacd-  
27 2:2006-cv-04795 MINUTES](#)  
28

- [Ricky Ritch v. Aviva Bobb \(2:06-cv-04795-CAS-JWJ\)](#)
- [Ruling Over Someone' Has Paid Off Handsomely Guardians for Profit](#)
- [Judge Barbara R. Johnson](#)
- [Judge Brenda J Penny](#)
- [Judge Candace J Beason](#)
- [Judge David J. Cowan](#)
- [Judge David Yaffe](#)
- [Judge Elizabeth A. Lippitt](#)
- [Judge James Bascue](#)
- [Judge John W. Ouderkirk](#)
- [Judge Joseph DeVanon](#)
- [Judge Kevin C. Brazile](#)
- [Judge Luna Ana Maria](#)
- [Judge Mary Thornton House](#)
- [Judge Michael I. Levanas](#)
- [Judge Mitchell L. Beckloff](#)
- [Judge Patrick T. Madden](#)
- [Judge Randolph M. Hammock](#)
- [Judge Reva G. Goetz](#)
  - [JudgeRevaGoetz.com ERASED PROOF](#)
- [Judge Scott M. Gordon](#)
- [Judge Stanley Blumenfeld](#)
- [Judge Tamara E. Hall](#)
- [Judge Thomas Long](#)
- [Judge William P. Barry](#)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- [Judge Zaven Sinanian](#)
- [Los Angeles Superior Court LAUSD Fraud](#)
- [Superior Court Probate Division](#)
- [Mariposa County Judges](#)
  - [Judge Dana Walton](#)
- [Martinez Judges](#)
  - [Judge Bruce C. Mills](#)
- [Pomona Judges](#)
  - [Judge Bruce F. Marrs](#)
- [Redding Judges](#)
  - [Judge Jack Halpin](#)
- [Riverside Judges](#)
  - [Judge Dale R Wells](#)
- [Sacramento Judges](#)
  - [Judge Jaime R. Roman](#)
  - [Judge Peter J. McBrien](#)
  - [Judge Sharon Lueras](#)
  - [Judge Valeriano Saucedo](#)
  - [Judge William Shubb](#)
- [San Diego Judges](#)
  - [Judge Daniel B. Goldstein](#)
  - [Judge Joseph Brannigan](#)
  - [Judge Judith McConnell](#)
  - [Judge Richard Huffman](#)
- [San Francisco Judges](#)

- 1 • [Chief Justice Tani Cantil Sakauye](#)
- 2 • [Judge Patrice McElroy](#)
- 3 • [Judge Robert A. O'Farrell](#)
- 4 • [San Jose Judges](#)
  - 5 • [Judge David A. Cena](#)
  - 6 • [Judge James E. Towery](#)
  - 7 • [Judge Michael B. Dufficy](#)
  - 8 • [Judge Scott Jeremiah Stuart](#)
  - 9 • [Judge Socrates Peter Manoukian](#)
  - 10 • [Judge Socrates Manoukian's Elder Abuse State Appellate Court Review](#)
- 11 • [Santa Barbara Judges](#)
  - 12 • [Judge Donna D. Geck](#)
  - 13 • [Judge James E. Herman](#) “

14 [Uglyjudge.com](#)

15 [State of California Commission on Judicial Performance](#)

16 [Complaints Against California Judges](#)

17 [Lawless America Corruption Reports for Judges](#)

18 [Judges as Criminals](#)

19 [Judges above the Law](#)

20 [Center for Judicial Excellence](#)

21 [How To Deal With A Bad Judge](#)

22 [Citizens for Criminal Justice](#)

23 [The Black Wall of Silence](#)



**CENTER *for* JUDICIAL EXCELLENCE**

1  
2  
3  
4 [□ https://californiajudicialcorruption.wordpress.com](https://californiajudicialcorruption.wordpress.com)

5 *Exposing Corrupt California Judges, Courts and more. judges Socrates Manoukian, His wife*  
6 *Patricia Bamratte-Manoukian and Erica Yew, Commission on Judicial Performance. Nepotism,*  
7 *Corruption and Fraud in California's Courts.*

8  
9  
10 [43 California judges were reprimanded for misconduct last ...](#)

11 [📄 https://www.latimes.com › local › california › la-me-judges-discipline-20150404-story.html](https://www.latimes.com › local › california › la-me-judges-discipline-20150404-story.html)

12 *Apr 4, 2015 43 California judges were reprimanded for misconduct last year By Maura Dolan Staff*  
13 *Writer April 4, 2015 7:37 PM PT Reporting from SAN FRANCISCO — Two judges had sex with*  
14 *women in their chambers,...*

15  
16 [Corrupt Judges are out of control in America](#)

17  
18 [📄 https://uglyjudge.com › judges](https://uglyjudge.com › judges)

19 *Santa Clara County California is a hotbed of Corruption that focuses on one judge Socrates Peter*  
20 *Manoukian where several documented cases have been sabotaged by the judge. There is even an*  
21 *official Judge Socrates Manoukian web site which documents the corruption and crimes.*

22  
23 [5 Corrupt Judges & The Countless Lives They Tried To ...](#)

24  
25 [□ https://www.investigationdiscovery.com › crimefeed › bad-behavior › 5-corrupt-judges-the-](https://www.investigationdiscovery.com › crimefeed › bad-behavior › 5-corrupt-judges-the-countless-lives-they-tried-to-destroy)  
26 [countless-lives-they-tried-to-destroy](#)

27 *Thomas J. Maloney was a judge in Cook County, Illinois from 1977 to 1991. Maloney and*  
28 *numerous fellow Cook County judges were the focus of an investigation named Operation*

1 Greylord. The operation was a joint investigation by the FBI, IRS, USPS and the Illinois State  
2 Police to track down corrupt **judges**.

3  
4 [Corrupt Judges - Judiciary Report](#)

5  [https://judiciaryreport.com > corrupt\\_judges.htm](https://judiciaryreport.com > corrupt_judges.htm)

6 The Corrupt Visiting **Judge Judge** Richard Markus is openly violating the constitutional rights of  
7 citizen Elsebeth Baumgartner for simply exercising her right to free speech in a non-threatening,  
8 peaceful manner. The lengths this just has gone to is sickening and appalling and is another black  
9

10  
11 [How Corrupt Judges are Destroying Our Society](#)

12  [https://www.citywatchla.com > index.php > 2016-01-01-13-17-00 > los-angeles > 15903-how-  
14 corrupt-judges-are-destroying-our-society](https://www.citywatchla.com > index.php > 2016-01-01-13-17-00 > los-angeles > 15903-how-<br/>13 corrupt-judges-are-destroying-our-society)

15 To add insult to the indignity of being preyed upon by corrupt **judges**, Californians have to endure  
16 the Commission of Judicial Performance [CJP], which is the watchdog agency where the wolves  
17 monitor the wolves who are feasting inside the hen house.

18  
19 [Thousands of U.S. judges who broke laws or oaths remained ...](#)

20  <https://www.reuters.com > investigates > special-report > usa-judges-misconduct>

21 The country's approximately 1,700 federal **judges** hear 400,000 cases annually. The nearly 30,000  
22 state, county and municipal court **judges** handle a far bigger docket: more than 100 million new ...  
23

24  
25 [Corrupt justice: what happens when judges' bias taints a ...](#)

26  <https://www.theguardian.com > us-news > 2015 > oct > 18 > judge-bias-corrupts-court-cases>

27 Oct 18, 2015 Corrupt justice: what happens when **judges'** bias taints a case? ... **California's** score,  
28 77, the highest of any state, was seven points below the federal government's grade of 84.

1  
2 [Directory of Judges - The Superior Court of California ...](#)

3 [www.sanmateocourt.org > general info > judges > directory.php](#)

4 *Effective September 10, 2021. Hon. Leland Davis, III, Presiding **Judge** Department 1, Courtroom*  
5 *2H 400 County Center Redwood City, CA 94063 (650) 261-5101*

6  
7  
8 *In another example [MICHAEL BERENS](#) and [JOHN SHIFFMAN](#) report that [Thousands of U.S.](#)*  
9 *[judges who broke laws or oaths remained on the bench](#)*

10  
11 *In the past dozen years, state and local judges have repeatedly escaped public accountability for*  
12 *misdeeds that have victimized thousands. Nine of 10 kept their jobs, a Reuters investigation found –*  
13 *including an Alabama judge who unlawfully jailed hundreds of poor people, many of them Black,*  
14 *over traffic fines.*

15  
16  
17 *Judge Les Hayes once sentenced a single mother to 496 days behind bars for failing to pay traffic*  
18 *tickets. The sentence was so stiff it exceeded the jail time Alabama allows for negligent homicide.*

19 *Marquita Johnson, who was locked up in April 2012, says the impact of her time in jail endures*  
20 *today. Johnson’s three children were cast into foster care while she was incarcerated. One*  
21 *daughter was molested, state records show. Another was physically abused.*

22  
23  
24 *“Judge Hayes took away my life and didn’t care how my children suffered,” said Johnson, now*  
25 *36. “My girls will never be the same.”*

1 Fellow inmates found her sentence hard to believe. “They had a nickname for me: The Woman with  
2 All the Days,” Johnson said. “That’s what they called me: The Woman with All the Days. There  
3 were people who had committed real crimes who got out before me.”

4  
5 In 2016, the state agency that oversees judges charged Hayes with violating Alabama’s code of  
6 judicial conduct. According to the Judicial Inquiry Commission, [Hayes broke state and federal](#)  
7 [laws](#) by jailing Johnson and hundreds of other Montgomery residents too poor to pay fines. Among  
8 those jailed: a plumber struggling to make rent, a mother who skipped meals to cover the medical  
9 bills of her disabled son, and a hotel housekeeper working her way through college.

10  
11  
12 Hayes, a judge since 2000, admitted in court documents to violating 10 different parts of the state’s  
13 judicial conduct code. One of the counts was a breach of a judge’s most essential duty: failing to  
14 “respect and comply with the law.”

15  
16  
17 Despite the severity of the ruling, Hayes wasn’t barred from serving as a judge. Instead, the  
18 judicial commission and Hayes reached a deal. The former Eagle Scout would serve an 11-month  
19 unpaid suspension. Then he could return to the bench.

20  
21 Until he was disciplined, Hayes said in an interview with Reuters, “I never thought I was doing  
22 something wrong.”

23  
24  
25 This week, Hayes is set to retire after 20 years as a judge. In a statement to Reuters, Hayes said he  
26 was “very remorseful” for his misdeeds.

27  
28

1 Community activists say his departure is long overdue. Yet the decision to leave, they say, should  
2 never have been his to make, given his record of misconduct.

3  
4 “He should have been fired years ago,” said Willie Knight, pastor of North Montgomery Baptist  
5 Church. “He broke the law and wanted to get away with it. His sudden retirement is years too  
6 late.”

7  
8 Hayes is among thousands of state and local judges across America who were allowed to keep  
9 positions of extraordinary power and prestige after violating judicial ethics rules or breaking laws  
10 they pledged to uphold, a Reuters investigation found.

11 *Related content*



14 [The Teflon Robe: Read the series](#)



17  
18 [Reuters database: Judges who were publicly disciplined – and what they did](#)



21 [Methodology and Q&A: How we examined misconduct](#)



24 [How to use the searchable database to explore the disciplinary files of judges across](#)  
25 [America](#)

1 Judges have made racist statements, lied to state officials and forced defendants to languish in jail  
2 without a lawyer – and then returned to the bench, sometimes with little more than a rebuke from  
3 the state agencies overseeing their conduct.

4  
5 Recent media reports have documented failures in judicial oversight in [South Carolina](#),  
6 [Louisiana](#) and [Illinois](#). Reuters went further.

7  
8  
9 In the first comprehensive accounting of judicial misconduct nationally, Reuters identified and  
10 reviewed 1,509 cases from the last dozen years – 2008 through 2019 – in which judges resigned,  
11 retired or were publicly disciplined following accusations of misconduct. In addition, reporters  
12 identified another 3,613 cases from 2008 through 2018 in which states disciplined wayward judges  
13 but kept hidden from the public key details of their offenses – including the identities of the judges  
14 themselves.

15  
16 All told, 9 of every 10 judges were allowed to return to the bench after they were sanctioned for  
17 misconduct, Reuters determined. They included a [California judge who had sex](#) in his courthouse  
18 chambers, once with his former law intern and separately with an attorney; [a New York judge who](#)  
19 [berated domestic violence victims](#); and [a Maryland judge](#) who, after his arrest for driving drunk,  
20 was allowed to return to the bench provided he took a Breathalyzer test before each appearance.

21 The news agency’s findings reveal an “excessively” forgiving judicial disciplinary system, said  
22 Stephen Gillers, a law professor at New York University who writes about judicial ethics. Although  
23 punishment short of removal from the bench is appropriate for most misconduct cases, Gillers said,  
24 the public “would be appalled at some of the lenient treatment judges get” for substantial  
25 transgressions.  
26

27 Among the cases from the past year alone:  
28

1 **PUBLIC WARNING (2019)**

2  
3 [Jack Robison](#)

4 [District Court, Texas](#)

5  
6 [Burst into a jury deliberation room, exclaiming that God told him the defendant was innocent.](#)

7 [The Herald-Zeitung/Handout via REUTERS](#)

8  
9  
10 In Utah, [a judge texted a video of a man's scrotum](#) to court clerks. He was reprimanded but  
11 remains on the bench.

12  
13 [In Indiana, three judges attending a conference last spring got drunk](#) and sparked a 3 a.m. brawl  
14 outside a White Castle fast-food restaurant that ended with two of the judges shot. Although the  
15 state supreme court found the three judges had "discredited the entire Indiana judiciary," each  
16 returned to the bench after a suspension.

17  
18  
19 In Texas, a judge burst in on jurors deliberating the case of a woman charged with sex trafficking  
20 and declared that [God told him the defendant was innocent.](#) The offending judge received a  
21 warning and returned to the bench. The defendant was convicted after a new judge took over the  
22 case.

23  
24  
25 "There are certain things where there should be a level of zero tolerance," the jury foreman, Mark  
26 House, told Reuters. The judge should have been fined, House said, and kicked off the bench.

27 "There is no justice, because he is still doing his job."  
28

1 *Judicial misconduct specialists say such behavior has the potential to erode trust in America's*  
2 *courts and, absent tough consequences, could give judges license to behave with impunity.*

3  
4 *"When you see cases like that, the public starts to wonder about the integrity and honesty of the*  
5 *system," said Steve Scheckman, a lawyer who directed Louisiana's oversight agency and served as*  
6 *deputy director of New York's. "It looks like a good ol' boys club."*

7  
8  
9 *That's how local lawyers viewed the case of a longtime Alabama judge who concurrently served on*  
10 *the state's judicial oversight commission. The judge, Cullman District Court's Kim Chaney,*  
11 *remained on the bench for three years after being accused of violating the same nepotism rules he*  
12 *was tasked with enforcing on the oversight commission. In at least 200 cases, court records show,*  
13 *Judge Chaney chose his own son to serve as a court-appointed defense lawyer for the*  
14 *indigent, enabling the younger Chaney to earn at least \$105,000 in fees over two years.*

15  
16  
17 *In February, months after Reuters repeatedly asked Chaney and the state judicial commission about*  
18 *those cases, he retired from the bench as part of a deal with state authorities to end the*  
19 *investigation.*

20 *Tommy Drake, the lawyer who first filed a complaint against Chaney in 2016, said he doubts the*  
21 *judge would have been forced from the bench if Reuters hadn't examined the case.*

22 *"You know the only reason they did anything about Chaney is because you guys started asking*  
23 *questions," Drake said. "Otherwise, he'd still be there."*

24  
25  
26 *Bedrock of American justice*

27 *State and local judges draw little scrutiny even though their courtrooms are the bedrock of the*  
28 *American criminal justice system, touching the lives of millions of people every year.*

1 The country's approximately 1,700 federal judges hear 400,000 cases annually. The nearly 30,000  
2 state, county and municipal court judges handle a far bigger docket: more than 100 million new  
3 cases each year, from traffic to divorce to murder. Their titles range from justice of the peace to  
4 state supreme court justice. Their powers are vast and varied – from determining whether a  
5 defendant should be jailed to deciding who deserves custody of a child.

6  
7 Each U.S. state has an oversight agency that investigates misconduct complaints against judges.

8 The authority of the oversight agencies is distinct from the power held by appellate courts, which  
9 can reverse a judge's legal ruling and order a new trial. Judicial commissions cannot change  
10 verdicts. Rather, they can investigate complaints about the behavior of judges and pursue discipline  
11 ranging from reprimand to removal.  
12

13  
14 **REPRIMANDED (2017)**

15  
16 [Sam Benningfield](#)

17 [General Sessions Court, Tennessee](#)

18  
19  
20 [Granted jail credit to women who received surgical implants for birth control and men who](#)  
21 [received vasectomies.](#)

22  
23 [TNcourts.gov/Handout via REUTERS](#)

24  
25  
26 Few experts dispute that the great majority of judges behave responsibly, respecting the law and  
27 those who appear before them. And some contend that, when judges do falter, oversight agencies  
28 are effective in identifying and addressing the behavior. "With a few notable exceptions, the

1 *commissions generally get it right,” said Keith Swisher, a University of Arizona law professor who*  
2 *specializes in judicial ethics.*

3  
4 *Others disagree. They note that the clout of these commissions is limited, and their authority differs*  
5 *from state to state. To remove a judge, all but a handful of states require approval of a panel that*  
6 *includes other judges. And most states seldom exercise the full extent of those disciplinary powers.*  
7 *As a result, the system tends to err on the side of protecting the rights and reputations of judges*  
8 *while overlooking the impact courtroom wrongdoing has on those most affected by it: people like*  
9 *Marquita Johnson.*

10  
11  
12 *Reuters scoured thousands of state investigative files, disciplinary proceedings and court records*  
13 *from the past dozen years to quantify the personal toll of judicial misconduct. The examination*  
14 *found at least 5,206 people who were directly affected by a judge’s misconduct. The victims cited in*  
15 *disciplinary documents ranged from people who were illegally jailed to those subjected to racist,*  
16 *sexist and other abusive comments from judges in ways that tainted the cases.*

17  
18  
19 *The number is a conservative estimate. The tally doesn’t include two previously reported incidents*  
20 *that affected thousands of defendants and prompted sweeping reviews of judicial conduct.*

21  
22 *“If we have a system that holds a wrongdoer accountable but we fail to address the victims, then we*  
23 *are really losing sight of what a justice system should be all about.”*

24  
25  
26 *In Pennsylvania, the state examined the convictions of more than 3,500 teenagers sentenced by two*  
27 *judges. The judges were convicted of taking kickbacks as part of a scheme to fill a private juvenile*  
28 *detention center. In 2009, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court appointed senior judge Arthur Grim to*

1 lead a victim review, and the state [later expunged criminal records for 2,251 juveniles](#). Grim  
2 told Reuters that every state should adopt a way to compensate victims of judicial misconduct.

3  
4 “If we have a system that holds a wrongdoer accountable but we fail to address the victims, then we  
5 are really losing sight of what a justice system should be all about,” Grim said.

6  
7 In another review underway in Ohio, state public defender Tim Young is scrutinizing [2,707](#)  
8 [cases](#) handled by a judge who retired in 2018 after being hospitalized for alcoholism. Mike Benza, a  
9 law professor at Case Western Reserve University whose students are helping identify victims,  
10 compared the work to current investigations into police abuse of power. “You see one case and then  
11 you look to see if it's systemic,” he said.

12  
13  
14 The review, which has been limited during the coronavirus pandemic, may take a year. But Young  
15 said the time-consuming task is essential because “a fundamental injustice may have been levied  
16 against hundreds or thousands of people.”

17  
18  
19 ‘Special rules for judges’

20 Most states afford judges accused of misconduct a gentle kind of justice. Perhaps no state better  
21 illustrates the shortcomings of America’s system for overseeing judges than Alabama.

22  
23  
24 **CENSURED (2014)**

25  
26 [Scott Steiner](#)

27 [Superior Court, California](#)

28

[Had sex in his chambers with his intern and with an attorney practicing before his court.](#)

[Twitter/Handout via REUTERS](#)

As in most states, Alabama’s nine-member Judicial Inquiry Commission is a mix of lawyers, judges and laypeople. All are appointed. Their deliberations are secret and they operate under some of the most judge-friendly rules in the nation.

Alabama’s rules make even filing a complaint against a judge difficult. The complaint must be notarized, which means that in theory, anyone who makes misstatements about the judge can be prosecuted for perjury. Complaints about wrongdoing must be made in writing; those that arrive by phone, email or without a notary stamp are not investigated, although senders are notified why their complaints have been summarily rejected. Anonymous written complaints are shredded. These rules can leave lawyers and litigants fearing retaliation, commission director Jenny Garrett noted in response to written questions.

“It’s a ridiculous system that protects judges and makes it easy for them to intimidate anyone with a legitimate complaint,” said Sue Bell Cobb, chief justice of the Alabama Supreme Court from 2007 to 2011. In 2009, she unsuccessfully championed changes to the process and commissioned an American Bar Association report that offered a scathing review of Alabama’s rules.

In most other states, commission staff members can start investigating a judge upon receiving a phone call or email, even anonymous ones, or after learning of questionable conduct from a news report or court filing. In Alabama, staff will not begin an investigation without approval from the commission itself, which convenes about every seven weeks.

1 By rule, the commission also must keep a judge who is under scrutiny fully informed throughout an  
2 investigation. If a subpoena is issued, the judge receives a simultaneous copy, raising fears about  
3 witness intimidation. If a witness gives investigators a statement, the judge receives a transcript. In  
4 the U.S. justice system, such deference to individuals under investigation is extremely rare.

5  
6 “It’s a ridiculous system that protects judges and makes it easy for them to intimidate anyone with a  
7 legitimate complaint.”

8  
9  
10 “Why the need for special rules for judges?” said Michael Levy, a Washington lawyer who has  
11 represented clients in high-profile criminal, corporate, congressional and securities investigations.

12 “If judges think it’s fair and appropriate to investigate others for crimes or misconduct without  
13 providing those subjects or targets with copies of witness statements and subpoenas, why don’t  
14 judges think it’s fair to investigate judges in the same way?”

15  
16  
17 Alabama judges also are given an opportunity to resolve investigations confidentially. Reuters  
18 interviews and a review of Alabama commission records show the commission has met with judges  
19 informally at least 19 times since 2011 to offer corrective “guidance.” The identities of those judges  
20 remain confidential, as does the conduct that prompted the meetings. “Not every violation warrants  
21 discipline,” commission director Garrett said.

22  
23  
24 Since 2008, the commission has brought 21 public cases against judges, including Hayes, charging  
25 two this year. 496 = Number of days Judge Hayes sentenced Marquita Johnson to jail for unpaid  
26 traffic tickets.

1 *Two of the best-known cases brought by the commission involved Roy Moore, who was twice forced*  
2 *out as chief justice of the Alabama Supreme Court for defying federal court orders.*

3  
4 *Another Alabama justice fared better in challenging a misconduct complaint, however. Tom Parker,*  
5 *first elected to the state’s high court in 2004, pushed back when the commission investigated him in*  
6 *2015 for comments he made on the radio criticizing the U.S. Supreme Court's decision legalizing*  
7 *gay marriage.*

8  
9  
10 *Parker sued the commission in federal court, arguing the agency was infringing on his First*  
11 *Amendment rights. He won. Although the commission had dropped its investigation before the*  
12 *ruling, it was ordered to cover Parker’s legal fees: \$100,000, or about a fifth of the agency’s total*  
13 *annual budget.*

14  
15  
16 *In 2018, the people of Alabama elected Parker chief justice.*

17  
18 *These days, Parker told Reuters, Alabama judges and the agency that oversees them enjoy “a much*  
19 *better relationship” that’s less politically tinged. “How can I say it? It’s much more respectful*  
20 *between the commission and the judges now.”*

21  
22 *“Gut instinct”*

23  
24  
25 *Montgomery, Alabama has a deep history of racial conflict, as reflected in the clashing concepts*  
26 *emblazoned on the city’s great seal: “Cradle of the Confederacy” and “Birthplace of the Civil*  
27 *Rights Movement.”*

28

1 *Jefferson Davis was inaugurated here as Confederate president after the South seceded from the*  
2 *Union in 1861, and his birthday is a state holiday. As was common throughout the South, the city*  
3 *was the site of the lynchings of Black men, crimes now commemorated at a national memorial*  
4 *based here. Police arrested civil rights icon Rosa Parks here in 1955 for refusing to give up her*  
5 *seat on a city bus to a white passenger.*

6  
7  
8 *Today, about 60% of Montgomery’s 198,000 residents are Black, U.S. census records show. Even*  
9 *so, Black motorists account for about 90% of those charged with unpaid traffic tickets, a Reuters*  
10 *examination of court records found. Much of Judge Hayes’ work in municipal court involved traffic*  
11 *cases and the collection of fines. Hayes, who is white, told Reuters that “the majority of people who*  
12 *come before the court are Black.”*

13  
14 *City officials have said that neither race nor economics have played a role in police efforts to*  
15 *enforce outstanding warrants, no matter how minor the offense.*

16  
17  
18 *In April 2012, Marquita Johnson was among them. Appearing before Hayes on a Wednesday*  
19 *morning, the 28-year-old single mother pleaded for a break.*

20  
21 *Johnson had struggled for eight years to pay dozens of tickets that began with a citation for failing*  
22 *to show proof of insurance. She had insurance, she said. But when she was pulled over, she couldn’t*  
23 *find the card to prove it.*

24  
25 *Even a single ticket was a knockout blow on her minimum-wage waitress salary. In addition to*  
26 *fines, the court assessed a \$155 fee to every ticket. Court records show that police often issued her*  
27 *multiple tickets for other infractions during every stop – a practice some residents call “stacking.”*  
28

1 Under state law, failing to pay even one ticket can result in the suspension of a driver’s license.

2 Johnson’s decision to keep driving nonetheless – taking her children to school or to doctor visits,  
3 getting groceries, going to work – led to more tickets and deeper debt.

4  
5 “I told Judge Hayes that I had lost my job and needed more time to pay,” she recounted.

6  
7  
8 By Hayes’ calculation, Johnson owed more than \$12,000 in fines. He sentenced Johnson to 496  
9 days in jail. Hayes arrived at that sentence by counting each day in jail as \$25 toward the  
10 outstanding debt. A different judge later determined that Johnson actually owed half the amount  
11 calculated by Hayes, and that Hayes had incorrectly penalized her over fines she had already paid.

12 To shave time off her sentence, Johnson washed police cars and performed other menial labor  
13 while jailed.

14  
15  
16 Hayes told Reuters that he generally found pleas of poverty hard to believe. “With my years of  
17 experience, I can tell when someone is being truthful with me,” Hayes said. He called it “gut  
18 instinct” -- though he added, in a statement this week, that he also consulted “each defendant’s  
19 criminal and traffic history as well as their history of warrants and failures to appear in court.”

20  
21 Of course, the law demands more of a judge than a gut call. In a 1983 landmark decision, *Bearden*  
22 *v. Georgia*, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that state judges are obligated to hold a hearing to  
23 determine whether a defendant has “willfully” chosen not to pay a fine.

24  
25  
26 According to the state’s judicial oversight commission, “Judge Hayes did not make any inquiry into  
27 Ms. Johnson’s ability to pay, whether her non-payment was willful.”

28

1 From jail, "I prayed to return to my daughters," Johnson said. "I was sure that someone would  
2 realize that Hayes had made a mistake."

3  
4 She said her worst day in jail was her youngest daughter's 3rd birthday. From a jail telephone, she  
5 tried to sing "Happy Birthday" but slumped to the floor in grief.

6  
7  
8 "She was choking up and crying," said Johnson's mother, Blanche, who was on the call. "She was  
9 devastated to be away from her children so long."

10  
11 When Johnson was freed after 10 months in jail, she learned that strangers had abused her two  
12 older children. One is now a teenager; the other is in middle school. "My kids will pay a lifetime for  
13 what the court system did to me," Johnson said. "My daughters get frantic when I leave the house. I  
14 know they've had nightmares that I'm going to disappear again."

15  
16  
17 Six months after Johnson's release, Hayes jailed another single Black mother. Angela McCullough,  
18 then 40, had been pulled over driving home from Faulkner University, a local community college  
19 where she carried a 3.87 grade point average. As a mother of four children, including a disabled  
20 adult son, she had returned to college to pursue her dream of becoming a mental health counselor.  
21 Police ticketed her for failing to turn on her headlights. After a background check, the officer  
22 arrested McCullough on a warrant for outstanding traffic tickets. She was later brought before  
23 Hayes.

24  
25 "I can't go to jail," McCullough recalled pleading with the judge. "I'm a mother. I have a disabled  
26 son who needs me."

1 Hayes sentenced McCullough to 100 days in jail to pay off a court debt of \$1,350, court records  
2 show. Her adult son, diagnosed with schizophrenia, was held in an institution until her release.

3  
4 McCullough said she cleaned jail cells in return for time off her sentence. One day, she recalled,  
5 she had to clean a blood-soaked cell where a female inmate had slit her wrists.

6 She was freed after 20 days, using the money she saved for tuition to pay off her tickets, she said.

7 Jail was the darkest chapter of her life, McCullough said, a place where “the devil was trying to  
8 take my mind.” Today, she has abandoned her pursuit of a degree. “I don’t think I’ll ever be able to  
9 afford to go back.”  
10

11  
12 **SUSPENDED 6 MONTHS (2017)**

13  
14 [Chris Kunza Mennemeyer](#)

15 [Circuit Court, Missouri](#)

16  
17  
18 [Deliberately postponed the appointment of public defenders in probation violation cases.](#)

19 [Pike County News/Handout via REUTERS](#)

20  
21 A clear sign that something was amiss in Montgomery courts came in November 2013, when a  
22 federal lawsuit was filed alleging that city judges were unlawfully jailing the poor. A similar suit  
23 was filed in 2014, and two more civil rights cases were filed in 2015. Johnson and McCullough  
24 were plaintiffs.  
25

26 The lawsuits detailed practices similar to those that helped fuel protests in Ferguson, Missouri,  
27 after a white police officer killed a Black teenager in 2014. In a scathing report on the origins of the  
28 unrest, the U.S. Department of Justice exposed how Ferguson had systematically used traffic

1 enforcement to raise revenue through excessive fines, a practice that fell disproportionately hard on  
2 Black residents.

3  
4 “Montgomery is just like Ferguson,” said Karen Jones, a community activist and founder of a local  
5 educational nonprofit. Jones has led recent protests in Montgomery in the wake of the killing of  
6 George Floyd, the Black man whose death under the knee of a cop in Minneapolis set off worldwide  
7 calls for racial justice.  
8

9  
10 In Montgomery, “everybody knew that the police targeted Black residents. And I sat in Hayes’ court  
11 and watched him squeeze poor people for more money, then toss them in jail where they had to  
12 work off debts with free labor to the city.”  
13

14 It was years before the flurry of civil rights lawsuits against Hayes and his fellow judges had much  
15 impact on the commission. The oversight agency opened its Hayes case in summer 2015, nearly two  
16 years after plaintiffs’ lawyers in the civil rights cases filed a complaint with the body. Hayes spent  
17 another year and a half on the bench before accepting the suspension.  
18

19  
20 Under its own rules, the commission could have filed a complaint and told its staff to investigate  
21 Hayes at any time. Commission director Garrett said she is prohibited by law from explaining why  
22 the commission didn’t investigate sooner. The investigation went slowly, Garrett said, because it  
23 involved reviewing thousands of pages of court records. The commission also was busy with other  
24 cases from 2015 to early 2017, Garrett said, issuing charges against five judges, including Moore.  
25

26  
27 “Slap in the face”  
28

1 A few months after Judge Hayes' suspension ended, his term as a municipal judge was set to expire.  
2 So, the Montgomery City Council took up the question of the judge's future on March 6, 2018. On  
3 the agenda of its meeting: whether to reappoint Hayes to another four-year term.

4 Judge Hayes failed to comply with the  
5 Constitution of the United States, the dictates of the  
6 United States Supreme Court, federal and state case law,  
7 the Alabama Constitution, Alabama statutes, and the Alabama  
8 Rules of Criminal Procedure.  
9

10 Hayes wasn't in the audience that night, but powerful supporters were. The city's chief judge,  
11 Milton Westry, told the council that Hayes and his colleagues have changed how they handled cases  
12 involving indigent defendants, "since we learned a better way of doing things." In the wake of the  
13 suits, Westry said, Hayes and his peers complied with reforms that required judges to make audio  
14 recordings of court hearings and notify lawyers when clients are jailed for failing to pay fines.  
15

16  
17 As part of a settlement in the civil case, the city judges agreed to implement changes for at least two  
18 years. Those reforms have since been abandoned, Reuters found. Both measures were deemed too  
19 expensive, Hayes and city officials confirmed.

20  
21 Residents who addressed the council were incredulous that the city would consider reappointing  
22 Hayes. Jones, the community activist, reminded council members that Hayes had "pleaded guilty to  
23 violating the very laws he was sworn to uphold."  
24

25  
26 The city council voted to rehire Hayes to a fifth consecutive term.  
27  
28

1 Marquita Johnson said she can't understand why a judge whose unlawful rulings changed the lives  
2 of hundreds has himself emerged virtually unscathed.

3  
4 "Hiring Hayes back to the bench was a slap in the face to everyone," Johnson said. "It was a  
5 message that we don't matter."

6  
7 On Thursday, Hayes will retire from the bench. In an earlier interview with Reuters, he declined to  
8 discuss the Johnson case. Asked whether he regrets any of the sentences he has handed out, he  
9 paused.

10  
11 "I think, maybe, I could have been more sympathetic at times," Hayes said. "Sometimes you miss a  
12 few."

13  
14 Additional reporting by Isabella Jibilian, Andrea Januta and Blake Morrison. Edited by Morrison.

15  
16 In another example reported by [JOHN SHIFFMAN](#), A watchdog accused, a pattern of rulings  
17 delayed, a repeat offender wherein Three recent cases illustrate how Alabama judges who were  
18 cited for wrongdoing were able to remain on the bench for years. Judge Chaney: Enforced, broke  
19 rules!

20  
21 What happened when a trial judge who also served on the state's judicial oversight board was  
22 accused of misbehavior.

23  
24  
25 Alex Chaney was just a year out of law school in 2015 when he started receiving lucrative  
26 appointments at taxpayer expense. A district judge began assigning him to represent people too  
27 poor to afford a lawyer.

28

1 *That judge was his dad, Kim Chaney.*

2  
3 *Judge Chaney is a powerful figure in rural Cullman County, where he was first elected to the bench*  
4 *in 1992. Chaney serves on a local bank board and has led several statewide justice associations.*

5 *In 2012, the governor honored Chaney by selecting him to also serve on Alabama's nine-member*  
6 *Judicial Inquiry Commission, which investigates misconduct by judges. While on the commission,*  
7 *Chaney broke its ethics laws in his own courtroom.*

8  
9  
10 *In 2016, local attorney Tommy Drake filed a complaint against Chaney, alleging that the judge was*  
11 *appointing his son to represent indigent defendants, violating ethics rules that prohibit nepotism.*

12 *Alex Chaney was paid \$105,000 from 2015 to 2017 by the state for such court-appointed work,*  
13 *accounting records show.*

14  
15 *Because Judge Chaney served on the judicial commission, Drake sent his complaint to a different*  
16 *state watchdog agency, the Alabama Ethics Commission. On October 4, 2017, the Ethics*  
17 *Commission found that Judge Chaney violated ethics rules and referred the case to the state*  
18 *attorney general.*

19  
20  
21 *The following day, records show, Judge Chaney resigned from the Judicial Inquiry Commission.*

22 *But he remained a trial judge in Cullman. Eighteen months passed.*

23  
24  
25 *Last summer, a Reuters reporter began asking state officials about the status of the case. The*  
26 *officials declined to comment.*

1 In November, Reuters sent Judge Chaney and his son queries. They did not respond. The judge's  
2 lawyer, John Henig Jr, wrote to Reuters: "Judge Chaney is a person of remarkably good character  
3 and would never knowingly do anything unethical or wrong."

4  
5 Henig said that Judge Chaney appointed his son from a rotating list of lawyers to represent  
6 indigent defendants. Henig called the appointments "ministerial" in nature.

7  
8  
9 "If Judge Chaney's son's name was the next name on the list for appointments, Judge Chaney  
10 would call out his son's name and thereafter immediately recuse himself from the case," Henig  
11 wrote.

12 A Reuters review of court records showed otherwise: Judge Chaney participated in several cases  
13 after appointing his son and issued substantive decisions. For example, records show that the judge  
14 reduced bond for one of his son's clients, and approved another's motion to plead guilty. Henig did  
15 not respond to questions about these records.  
16

DOCUMENT 41

State of Alabama Unified Judicial System Form C-7 Rev. 2/79	<b>CASE ACTION SUMMARY CONTINUATION</b>	Case Number DC11-783 ID YR Number
Style: Justin Michael Smith	Page Number _____ of _____ Pages	
DATE	ACTIONS, JUDGMENTS, CASE NOTES	
2-15-17	Def may be released \$300 to clerk. Attorney Alex Chaney appointed to represent def.	

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 This February 7 – eight months after Reuters began inquiring about Chaney – the commission  
25 charged the judge with appointing his son to more than 200 cases and making rulings in some of  
26 them. Chaney struck a deal with the commission and retired from the bench, avoiding a trial.  
27  
28

1 During a hearing to approve the deal, commission lawyer Elizabeth Bern said Chaney should have  
2 known better than to appoint his son, especially given that he did so while a member of the  
3 oversight agency. During Chaney’s tenure, the commission had disciplined two judges who abused  
4 their office to benefit a relative.

5  
6 “The nepotism provision is clear and unequivocal without exception,” she said.  
7

8  
9 Chaney did not speak during the hearing.  
10

11 Drake, the lawyer who filed the complaint in 2016, said that absent the Reuters inquiries, he doubts  
12 Chaney would have retired from the bench because he is so politically powerful.  
13

14 Indeed, shortly after the judge stepped down in disgrace for steering work to his son, the local bar  
15 association issued a resolution praising him.  
16

17  
18 Of Chaney, the local lawyers said, “He has always maintained the highest ethical and moral  
19 standards of the office and has been an example to all, what a judge should represent.”  
20

21 Judge Kelly: “Callous indifference”  
22

23  
24 How a judge left children in limbo by repeatedly failing to perform her most basic duty: ruling on  
25 cases.

26 Montgomery Circuit Court Judge Anita Kelly hears time-sensitive family matters such as child  
27 custody, adoption and divorce – cases in which a child remains in limbo until she rules.  
28

1 Starting in 2014, court and judicial commission records show, word of years-long delays in her  
2 cases began to emerge from foster parents, lawyers, social workers and appeals court judges.

3 Commission officials are barred by law from discussing the case, but Reuters pieced together the  
4 scope of the investigation through juvenile court records, public documents and interviews with  
5 people involved.

6 In May 2014, foster parents Cheri and Travis Norwood filed a complaint about Kelly with the  
7 judicial commission. They alleged the judge's incompetence led to a traumatic, years-long delay in  
8 which a foster child who began living with the Norwoods as an infant was taken away from them at  
9 age 3 ½ and returned to live with her teenage biological mother.  
10

11  
12 "If Judge Kelly thought they should have been together, fine," Travis Norwood said in an interview.

13 "Why didn't this happen sooner? Because children can't wait. You can't freeze a child, hold her in  
14 suspended animation until her mother is ready."  
15

16  
17 Social workers who heard about the Norwood complaint forwarded their own concerns about  
18 Kelly's conduct in several other cases. Nonetheless, the commission dismissed the Norwood  
19 complaint in early 2015, finding "no reasonable basis to charge the judge."  
20

21 Over the next year, more red flags emerged. State appeals court judges raised concerns about  
22 Kelly's "continued neglect of her duty," citing at least five cases that had untenable delays. In  
23 November 2015, a supreme court justice criticized the nearly three years it took to determine one  
24 child's fate.  
25

26  
27 "I refuse to be another adult who has totally failed this child," Justice Tommy Bryan wrote.  
28

1 Another 20 months passed before the judicial commission took action. In August 2017, it charged  
2 Kelly with delays that “manifest a callous indifference or lack of comprehension” to children’s  
3 well-being. One child’s case, it noted, had dragged on for five years.

4  
5 Kelly took her case to trial before the Court of the Judiciary, the special tribunal that weighs  
6 charges against judges. Her attorney argued that the judge worked hard and had shown no ill  
7 intent.

8  
9  
10 In 2018, [the tribunal found Kelly failed to “maintain professional competence.”](#) Kelly was  
11 suspended for 90 days. Still, she kept her job. The court said it likely would have removed Kelly  
12 from the bench if not for two factors: Voters re-elected her in 2016, and she exhibited “good  
13 character and the lack of evidence of scandal or corruption on her part.”

14  
15 Her lawyer, Henry Lewis Gillis, applauded her reinstatement and said the delays never affected the  
16 quality of her decisions.

17  
18  
19 “Judge Kelly cannot change yesterday,” Gillis said. “Rather, she chooses to learn from her past  
20 experiences as she continues to handle the many, many, many cases that come before her today.”

21 Judge Wiggins: Give blood or go to jail

22 A judge who is a repeat offender – four times over – remains on the bench.

23  
24 Circuit Judge Marvin Wiggins has been hit with misconduct charges by Alabama’s judicial conduct  
25 commission four times over the past decade. In 2009, [he was reprimanded](#) and suspended for 90  
26 days for failing to recuse himself from a voter fraud investigation involving his relatives.

27 “The public must be able to trust that our judges will dispense justice fairly and impartially,” the  
28 Court of the Judiciary concluded. “Judge Wiggins, by his actions, disregarded that trust.”

1 In 2016 – in a case that made global headlines – Wiggins was censured for offering defendants the  
2 option of [giving blood instead of going to jail](#) for failing to pay fines. A local blood drive happened  
3 to be taking place at the courthouse that day.

4 “If you do not have any money and you don’t want to go to jail, as an option to pay it, you can give  
5 blood today,” Wiggins told dozens of defendants, according to a recording. “Consider that as a  
6 discount rather than putting you in jail, if you do not have any money.”

7  
8 Forty-one defendants gave blood that day, and the commission called Wiggins’ conduct  
9 “reprehensible and inexcusable.” Wiggins acknowledged that his comments were inappropriate,  
10 but noted he did not send anyone to jail that day for failure to pay fines.

11  
12 1. \_

13 [Not all judges who have violated their oaths of office, broken the law or misbehaved on the](#)  
14 [bench have been brought before their states’ oversight commission. If you know of a judge](#)  
15 [who may have committed misconduct, please send us details at \[tips@thomsonreuters.com\]\(mailto:tips@thomsonreuters.com\).](#)  
16 [Include the name of the judge, the state, details of what the judge may have done wrong, and](#)  
17 [a way for us to contact you. Reuters investigates such tips and will contact you before](#)  
18 [publishing.](#)

19  
20  
21 Wiggins’ lawyer, Joe Espy III, said that the judge “has always tried to cooperate” with authorities.  
22 Espy noted that Wiggins is a community leader, an ordained pastor and has been repeatedly re-  
23 elected to the bench for more than 20 years.

24  
25  
26 “He is not only a good judge but a good person,” Espy said.  
27  
28

1 Last year, [Wiggins was reprimanded](#) for directly calling the father in a custody dispute – a  
2 conversation that violated a rule prohibiting a judge from discussing a case without both sides  
3 present. A recording of the call became a key piece of evidence against Wiggins.

4  
5 In preparation for trial in that case, the commission said it found a “pattern and practice” of  
6 similar one-sided calls. The commission also said it found evidence that Wiggins was meeting with  
7 divorce litigants in his chambers without lawyers present.

8  
9  
10 In November, this prompted a new commission case against Wiggins – his fourth in 10 years.

11 “At a very minimum,” the commission alleged, his track record indicates a “pattern of carelessness  
12 or indifferent disregard or lack of respect for the high standards imposed on the judiciary.”

13 But at a pretrial hearing in January, and in a subsequent order, Wiggins scored a victory before the  
14 Alabama tribunal that issues final judgment on such cases, the Court of the Judiciary. The presiding  
15 judge raised questions about whether proper procedures had been followed in the case against  
16 Wiggins.

17  
18 Three weeks later, the commission dropped the case. And Wiggins returned to the bench.

19  
20 These are only a few examples, supplied by major investigators and public official that prove that  
21 some Judges believe they are immune to violations of the law in order to protect their special  
22 interests. These, and many other reports, validates Plaintiff’s concerns.

23  
24  
25 Plaintiff, and his team associates, have helped develop and promote software, for use by every  
26 member of the public, by every member of Congress and by every law enforcement and regulatory  
27 agency. This software catches conflicted public officials..from Senators, to Judges, to White House  
28 staff.

1  
2       *These software tools are new open-source, and free, public software let any citizen get any*  
3 *corrupt official arrested. Any voter can use the software from the comfort of their living room. The*  
4 *AI replicates itself (Like A benign digital version of Covid) across the entire web.*

5       *You can download a copy of the software or build-your-own version of it from freely*  
6 *available code at Github, CERN and Linux repositories. Plaintiff, and his peers, have consulted*  
7 *with the SEC and the GAO on this technology.*

8  
9       *After suffering millions of dollars of losses from public official's **Insider Trading** schemes,*  
10 *Plaintiff decided to do something about that!*

11       *Illegal and corrupt Congressional, Judge and major public servant insider trading tends to*  
12 *be something you don't hear about until it's hit the big news networks and newspapers as the SEC*  
13 *goes for the throat of the accused. By then, unfortunately, those committing the crimes have made*  
14 *their gains, usually in the multi-millions of dollars, and the damage has been done to the stock, its*  
15 *company, investors and the American Way. Covert stock market trades are now the #1 form of*  
16 *bribes in California and Washington, DC.*

17  
18       *Quite frankly, the jail time assessed doesn't correct the damage done, and the fines rarely*  
19 *aid the investors, or the voters, in getting their money and their democracy back. Many of those*  
20 *hurt are Average Joe's and Jill's, like Plaintiff, who were just trying to save their retirement nest*  
21 *eggs.*

22       *'Public Shame' is the tool that works best to take down the corrupt!*

23  
24       *These crimes involve an **investment banker spouse** and a Senator or other top official, using*  
25 *information, which was not available to the public, buying and selling a company's stock in an*  
26 *underhanded manner. **In many cases bribes have been paid with Google, Tesla or Facebook stock***  
27 ***in a covert manner. It is particularly onerous when a Senators buys Tesla, Google, Facebook or***  
28 ***Solyndra stock, and makes laws that only benefits Tesla, Solyndra, etc, while sabotaging their***

1 **competitor constituents.** Because the dealings involved are pretty much done on the sly, it's been  
2 difficult, until now, for the governing body of the SEC to prove illegal insider trading, unless one of  
3 the cohorts tattles on the others or their actions become glaringly obvious. In some cases, a sharp  
4 mind around the action may take notice and become what's called a whistle-blower.

5 Previously, writes [Andrew Beattie of Investopedia](#): "... insider trading is often difficult for  
6 the SEC to spot. Detecting it involves a lot of conjecture and consideration of probabilities." That  
7 was the 'old days', though. Today, the new AI software can bust through these scams like a hot knife  
8 through butter!

9  
10 With this new open-source, free, public spy agency-class software, detecting illegal insider  
11 trading is actually less complicated than it sounds.

12 To the eyes of this new super-powerful AI observer server bot and peer-to-peer databases, it  
13 is easy work. You, the citizen, just type the politician or agency employee name into a field and hit  
14 the "**analyze**" button. A few minutes later you receive a multi-page PDF report similar to an FBI  
15 report on the target. You can either research the subject in more detail or send copies of the report  
16 to the FBI, GAO, OSC, SEC or other enforcement group.

17  
18 The software is an automated AI temporal matching system which includes 24/7 analysis of  
19 all stock trades involving politicians to its information source, politician finances, communications  
20 and policy participators. it uses some of the same software code used by the CERN mega-research  
21 center in Switzerland.

22 **The technology Core Evaluation Points:**

23  
24 • Analyst estimates - these come from what an analyst estimates that a company's  
25 quarterly or annual earnings will be. They are important because they help approximate the  
26 fair value of an entity, which basically establishes its price on the stock exchange.

27 • Share volume - this reflects the quantity of shares that can be traded over a certain  
28 period of time. There are buyers and there are sellers, and the transactions that take place

1           *between them contribute to total volume.*

2           ***One Way The AI Detects Congressional Insider Trades***

3           *Metricized signs of illegal insider trading occur when trades occur that break out of the*  
4 *historical pattern of share volume traded compared to beneficiary participation's of those*  
5 *connected to company and political entity. Another clue of the illegal insider trading is when a lot*  
6 *of trading goes on right before earnings announcements. That tends to be a sign that someone*  
7 *already knows what the announcement is going to indicate, and it's an obvious violation. One*  
8 *module of the new software hunts these trends around-the-clock in an unmanned manner like a*  
9 *detective who never needs to sleep.*

10           *The software red alerts are issued when trades are linked closer to the actual earnings and*  
11 *politicians bills instead of what the predicted earnings were. In a corruption case, it's clear the*  
12 *trades - especially made by politicians close to the company - stemmed from information that was*  
13 *not readily available to the general public.*

14           *In other words, at the time an insider makes a trade, the trade has a stronger relationship to*  
15 *earnings guidance rather than to earnings results achieved.*

16           ***Part Of The Insider Trading Detection AI Uses 'Dynamic Time Warping (DTW)'***

17           *In econometrics, which is a concept frequently used by quantitative analysts to evaluate*  
18 *stock market prices, dynamic time warping (DTW) is an algorithm that can be used for measuring*  
19 *similarity between two data sequences by calculating an optimal match between the two. This*  
20 *sequence "matching" method is often used in time series classification to properly "line things up."*

21           *The method, coupled with AI machine learning ensemble methods, can provide a clear path*  
22 *between the trades made by insiders and public data used to make the trades.*

23           *This is a product of artificial intelligence that has been expanded by Indexer, Splunk,*  
24 *Palantir and other firms fast becoming experts in products that can be used to advance the art of*  
25 *manipulating political and social trends in business and markets by using social media, financial*  
26  
27  
28

1 data and news stories. The new software process has taken that sort of approach to the next level  
2 and targeted every member of Congress, their staff, family and friends. The first emphasis is on  
3 California and Washington, DC public figures.

4 In a hypothetical example, a group of executives failed to trade by industry standards by  
5 leveraging material non-public information and policy manipulation. Although consensus estimates  
6 called for higher commodity prices at the end of 2015, it appears key executives traded for their  
7 personal accounts as a result of the forecast provided by a specialist system within the firm that was  
8 adept at predicting prices alongside lobbyist manipulations. Flash-boy trading is now dirtier and  
9 powered by Google-class server systems.

10  
11 In the hypothetical scenario the software aggregates executive trades in 2014 and 2015 and  
12 finds a strong link between buys and sells of executive stock options, which line up with material  
13 non-public estimates of commodity prices that were provided by the specialist system.

14 For example, in a "Exec Sell and Exec Buys" graph, a green line represents sells, while a  
15 black line represents buys. In the corresponding period, one finds a red line represents unrevised  
16 prices provided by the specialist system, and green line represents consensus estimates.

17  
18 During Q1-2014, there was \$28M in purchases of executive stock options, while in Q2-  
19 2014, there was \$25M in sales of executive stock options. The specialist system called for Q3-2014  
20 commodity prices to make a precipitous decline going into the end of 2014. Remember, under this  
21 scenario, no revisions were made to the specialist systems' price forecast. In this example,  
22 executives were afforded a significant advantage using price predictions from the specialist system.

23  
24 In a final bullet chart, there was a dynamic time warping distance between trades and  
25 consensus estimates of 7.23, but this distance is only 2.19 when comparing specialist system  
26 estimates and executive trades. Please note, the closer the distance score is to zero, the more  
27 similar the trades are to the estimates they are measured against.

28 **We have applied this process to companies well-known for influence buying like, Google,**

**Tesla and Facebook**

1  
2        *It's obvious that the tech executives involved did not follow industry standards in their*  
3 *actions and make public the "insider" information they had access to prior to the trades they made.*  
4 *The lobbyists they hired promoted this rigged trend and paid off Senators with perks. These are the*  
5 *kind of violations the SEC and other governing bodies can look to in attempting to protect the*  
6 *trading public and the integrity of financial marketplaces. Artificial intelligence tools are a major*  
7 *factor in assisting the tracking of insider trading.*

8  
9        *"Every facet of our everyday lives has been impacted, infiltrated and greatly influenced by*  
10 *artificial intelligence technologies," says Vernon A. McKinley, a multi-jurisdictional attorney,*  
11 *based in Atlanta. "In fact, the U.S. government and its multiple agencies have developed specialized*  
12 *intelligence units to detect, track, analyze and prosecute those unscrupulous individuals seeking to*  
13 *profit from the use of such tools, specifically in the financial industry, and to protect the integrity*  
14 *and strength of the U.S. economy and its investors." Now these tools are being turned against the*  
15 *corrupt!*

16  
17        *The public can now detect trading anomalies in financial situations using this artificial*  
18 *intelligence software on their desktop computers. No public official will ever be able to do these*  
19 *kinds of corruptions, again, without getting caught.*

20        *This approach has already had an impact on how political insiders trade on Wall Street and*  
21 *in financial markets around the world.*

22        ***This technology can end this corruption forever!***

23  
24        *A module of the software uses data from The Center for Responsive Politics, ICIJ Panama*  
25 *Leaks records, Swiss Leaks records and FEC files to reveal covert routes. Famous politicians own*  
26 *part of Tesla Motors, Facebook, Google, Netflix, YouTube and other companies they helped get*  
27 *government money for. All of their competing constituents have suffered for it or been put out of*  
28 *business by exclusive deals that only Tesla Motors, Facebook, Google, Netflix and YouTube got.*

1 *That is a crime and charges have been filed with federal law enforcement.*

2 *A large volume of forensic research proves that Silicon Valley Cartel tech firms receive*  
3 *benefits from politicians and politicians,at the same time, benefit from these firms.*

4 *This evidence on the exchange of benefits between politicians and firms proves an*  
5 *agreement between the politicians and the companies. This agreement, however, cannot be in the*  
6 *form of a written contract as writing direct fee-for-service contracts between a politician and a firm*  
7 *is considered bribery (Krozner and Stratmann 1998; 2000). In addition, either party to this*  
8 *agreement might renege on its promise and the other party cannot resort to the courts.*

9  
10 *Procon.org, for example, reports: “Less than two months after ascending to the United*  
11 *States Senate, and before becoming President, one Senator bought more than \$50,000 worth of*  
12 *stock in two speculative companies whose major investors included some of his biggest political*  
13 *donors. One of the companies was a biotech concern that was starting to develop a drug to treat*  
14 *avian flu. In March 2005, two weeks after buying thousands of dollars of its shares, this Senator*  
15 *took the lead in a legislative push for more federal spending to battle the disease. The most recent*  
16 *financial disclosure form this Senator . . . shows that he bought more than \$50,000 in stock in a*  
17 *satellite communications businesswhose principal backers . . . had raised more than \$150,000 for*  
18 *his political committees.” See more examples from the Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in*  
19 *Washington (CREW) report (2009.)*

20  
21  
22 *The literature and eye-witness experience proves that politically-connected Silicon Valley tech firms*  
23 *monthly obtain economic favors, such as securing favorable legislation, special tax exemptions,*  
24 *having preferential access to finance, receiving government contracts, or help in dealing with*  
25 *regulatory agencies. The evidence proves that Google's support, for example, can help in winning*  
26 *elections. For example, firms can vary the number of people they employ, coordinate the opening*  
27 *and closing of plants, and increase their lending activity in election years in order to help*  
28

1 incumbent politicians get re-elected. (See Roberts 1990; Snyder 1990; Langbein and Lotwis 1990;  
2 Durden, Shorgen, and Silberman 1991; Stratmann 1991, 1995, and 1998; Fisman 2001; Johnson  
3 and Mitton 2003; Ansolabehere, Snyder, and Ueda 2004; Sapienza 2004, Dinç 2005; Khwaja and  
4 Mian 2005; Bertrand, Kramarz, Schoar, and Thesmar 2006; Faccio 2006; Faccio, Masulis, and  
5 McConnell 2006; Jayachandran 2006; Leuz and Oberholzer-Gee 2006; Claessens, Feijen, Laeven  
6 2008; Desai and Olofsgard 2008; Ramanna 2008; Goldman, Rocholl, and So 2008, 2009; Cole  
7 2009; Cooper, Gulen, and Ovtchinnikov 2009; Correia 2009; Ramanna and Roychowdhury  
8 2010; Benmelech and Moskowitz 2010.)

10       The software can see that the share ownership of politicians serves as a mechanism to quid-  
11 pro-quo their relationships with big tech firms, is as follows: The ownership of politicians plays  
12 multiple distinct (but not necessarily independent) roles; one that relies upon the amount of  
13 ownership and one that does not. First, as investors in firms, politicians tie their own interests to  
14 those of the firm. Thus, harming (benefiting) the firm means harming (benefiting) the politician and  
15 vice versa. By owning a firm's stock, politicians commit their personal wealth to the firm and  
16 reduce a firm's uncertainty with regard to their actions toward the firm. This will, in turn, enhance  
17 the firm's incentive to support the politician-owner during both current and future elections in order  
18 to prolong the incumbency period for as long as possible. Firms have their lobbyists push to be able  
19 to know the amount of ownership likely to be material to politicians. This knowledge, in turn,  
20 enables them to judge whether the politician's interest is aligned with the firm's interest and  
21 optimize quid-pro-quo.  
22

24       The Political Action Committee (PAC) contribution of firms (which is a direct measure of  
25 benefits flowing from firms to politicians) is a significant determinant of ownership allocations by  
26 members of Congress. The ownership of Congress members in firms that contribute to their election  
27 campaigns is roughly 32.8% higher than their ownership in noncontributing firms even after  
28 accounting for factors that are associated with both ownership and contribution (such as

1 familiarity, proximity and investor recognition).

2       The committee assignments of politicians is a proxy for whether their relations with firms  
3 are enforced (Kroznor and Stratmann 1998). Silicon Valley tech firms like Facebook, Tesla and  
4 Google obtain private benefits out of their mutual relations with politicians. When the strength of  
5 the association between ownership and contributions at the firm level increases, the provision of  
6 government contracts to those firms increases.

7       Members of Congress, candidates for federal office, senior congressional staff, nominees for  
8 executive branch positions, Cabinet members, the President and Vice President, and Supreme Court  
9 justices are required by the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 to file annual reports disclosing their  
10 income, assets, liabilities, and other relevant details about their personal finances.

11       Personal financial disclosure forms are filed annually by May 15 and cover the preceding  
12 calendar year. The Center for Responsive Politics (CRP) collected the 2004–2007 reports for  
13 Congress members from the Senate Office of Public Records and the Office of the Clerk of the  
14 House. The Center then scanned the reports as digital images, classified the politicians' investments  
15 into categories including stocks, bonds, and mutual funds, and built a database accessible via a web  
16 query.  
17

18       Using CRP's data, you can use the software to collect the shares in S&P 500 firms held by  
19 members of Congress between 2004 and 2007, for example. You can collect the stock ownership  
20 data for every firm that joined the S&P 500 Index any time between January 2004 and April  
21 2009; regardless of when it joined the index, and the software can obtain all the available stock  
22 ownership data for that firm between 2004 and 2007. Likewise, if a firm dropped out of the index at  
23 any time during 2004–2008, the software, nevertheless, will retain the firm in a sample for the  
24 target period. As such, the sample would include stocks in hundreds of unique firms owned by  
25 politicians between 2004 and 2007, for example.  
26

27       Politicians are required to report only those stocks whose value exceeds \$1,000 at the end of  
28

1 the calendar year or that produce more than \$200 in income. They are CURRENTLY not required  
2 to report the exact value of the holding, but instead must simply check a box corresponding to the  
3 value range into which the asset falls. The CRP then undertakes additional research to determine  
4 the exact values of these stocks. When the Center makes these determinations, it reports them  
5 instead of the ranges and I use these values in my study. When only the range is available, you  
6 should use its midpoint as the holding's value. You would, thus have data on the stock holdings of  
7 hundreds politicians for that time period.

8  
9 Using the software, you can search for all Political Action Committees (PACs) associated  
10 with tech firms. It then collects data on each contribution these PACs made to candidates (both the  
11 winners and losers) running for the Senate and House elections. Tricky corrupt Silicon Valley firms  
12 establish several PACs, each in a different location, and each of these PACs can contribute to the  
13 same candidate. In such cases, the software would total, for each candidate, every contribution he  
14 or she received from PACs affiliated with the same firm. To parallel the investment data sample  
15 period, for example, the software collects every contribution made from the 2003–2004 cycle up to  
16 and including the 2007–2008 cycle. Many Silicon Valley tech firms use deeply covert Fusion GPS,  
17 Perkins Coie, BlackCube, Psyops-type service to make very hidden additional payola payments to  
18 California politicians.

19  
20 For sources, for example, the software collects government contract data from Eagle Eye  
21 Publishers, Inc., one of the leading commercial providers of Federal procurement and grant  
22 business intelligence and <http://www.usaspending.org>. Eagle Eye collects its contract data from  
23 Federal Procurement Data System–Next Generation (FPDS-NG), the contract data collection and  
24 dissemination system administered by the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA). FPDS-NG  
25 provides data on procurement contracts awarded by the U.S. Government. When these contracts  
26 are awarded to company subsidiaries, Eagle Eye searches for the names of their parent companies  
27 and assigns each subsidiary to its appropriate parent. The software collects both the number and  
28

1 aggregate value of government contracts that were awarded to sample firms between 2004 and  
2 2007 in this example time-frame..

3 The software reveals, for example, that one Representative is a ten-term member of  
4 Congress and a senior member of the House Financial Services Committee. They arranged a  
5 meeting between the Department of Treasury and One United Bank, a company with close financial  
6 ties to themselves, involving both investments and contributions.

7  
8 “In September 2008, the Representative asked then-Secretary of the Treasury Henry  
9 Paulson to hold a meeting for their friends in banks that had suffered from Fannie Mae and Freddie  
10 Mac losses.

11  
12 The Treasury Department complied and held a session with approximately a dozen senior banking  
13 regulators, representatives from those banks, and their trade association. Officials of One United  
14 Bank have close ties to the Representative and attended the meeting along with the Representative's  
15 chief of staff. Kevin Cohee, chief executive officer of One United, used the meeting as an  
16 opportunity to ask for bailout funds.

17  
18 . . . Former White House officials stated they were surprised when One United Officials  
19 asked for bailout funds. . . . In December 2008, the Representative intervened again, asking  
20 Treasury to host another meeting to ensure their banks received part of the \$700 billion allocated  
21 under the Troubled Asset Relief Program. . . . Within two weeks, on December 19, 2008, One  
22 United secured \$12.1million in bailout funds. . . . This was not the first time the Representative used  
23 their position to advance the interests of the bank. the Representative's spouse became a  
24 shareholder in One United in 2001, when it was known as the Boston Bank of Commerce. In 2002,  
25 Boston Bank of Commerce tried to purchase Family Savings, a friend of the Representative in Los  
26 Angeles. Instead, Family Savings turned to a bank in Illinois. The Representative tried to block the  
27 merger by contacting regulators at the FDIC. The Representative publicly stated they did not want  
28

1 a major bank to acquire a bank that the Representative was friends with.

2 When the Representative's efforts with the FDIC proved fruitless, the Representative began  
3 a public pressure campaign with other community leaders. Ultimately, when Family Savings  
4 changed direction and allowed Boston Bank of Commerce to submit a winning bid, the  
5 Representative received credit for the merger. The combined banks were renamed One United. . . .  
6 In March 2004, the Representative acquired One United stock worth between \$250,001 and  
7 \$500,000, and the Representative's spouse purchased two sets of stock, each worth between  
8 \$250,001 and \$500,000. In September 2004, the Representative sold their stock in One United and  
9 their husband sold a portion of his. That same year, the husband joined the bank's board. . . . One  
10 United Chief Executive Kevin Cohee and President Teri Williams Cohee have donated a total of  
11 \$8,000 to the Representative's campaign committee. . . . On October 27, 2009, less than two months  
12 before One United received a \$12 million bailout, the bank received a cease-and-desist order from  
13 the FDIC and bank regulatory officials in Massachusetts for poor lending practices and excessive  
14 executive compensation . . . the bank provided excessive perks to its executives, including paying for  
15 Mr. Cohee's use of a \$6.4 million mansion . . ." (Ref: CREW report 2009, pp. 123–125)

16  
17  
18 Thanks to Crony quid-pro-quo revelations by an earlier version of the software, you can  
19 also see that Fisker Automotive, Inc.'s \$529 Million U.S. Taxpayer Loan Approval by the  
20 Department of Energy was dirty. Fisker Automotive's Chief Operating Officer Bernhard Koehler  
21 pleaded with the Department of Energy in a panicked Saturday midnight hour email to receive a  
22 \$529 million loan as the company was 2 weeks from Chapter 7 liquidation, that it was laying off  
23 most of its employees, that no private sector investors would fund the company without DOE  
24 guarantees, and that Fisker was unable to raise any further equity funding from independent  
25 private-sector investors given the company's financial condition. These statements were made to a  
26 Loan Officer at the DOE . No private sector Loan underwriting (approval) committee would ever  
27 grant a low interest loan to a desperate buyer that had just confessed it was in a state of insolvency  
28

1 and was about to layoff most of its staff. Yet within a few weeks the DOE would approve a \$529  
2 Million Credit Facility to Fisker. Despite the DOE Loan Officer official's sworn testimony at April  
3 24th's House Oversight Committee that the DOE used "same private sector underwriting standards  
4 when approving Fisker and other approved Taxpayer Funded Loans" - likely perjury based in  
5 documents.

6 In a 'U.S. GOVERNMENT CONFIDENTIAL EMAIL': FISKER AUTOMOTIVE: August  
7 2009: Co-Founder Bernhard Koehler emails U.S. Dept. of Energy Loan Officer in Sat. midnight  
8 Panic admitting VC Firms all declined to invest, and company is out of cash. Weeks later the  
9 U.S.Department of Energy approves \$529M U.S. Taxpayer Funded Loans to FISKER. NO  
10 PRIVATE SECTOR Lender would ever authorize a Loan for even \$5 Million let alone \$529  
11 Million after receiving this email stating private sector investors had examined the company and  
12 declined equity investments, that they might loan money as more secure Debt, and the Chief  
13 Operating Officer of the company further stating that the borrower is totally insolvent. (Weeks after  
14 this email the U.S. Federal Government Dept. of Energy Loan Committee Approves Fisker  
15 Automotive as a credit-worthy borrow for \$529 Million in U.S. Taxpayer Funded Loans). Fisker got  
16 the cash because President Obama said to "give it to them" in order to please his campaign  
17 financiers.  
18

19  
20 The same thing happened with Tesla Motors. Elon Musk and Tesla Motors were broke when  
21 DOE gave them the money.

22  
23 PrivCo CEO Sam Hamadeh stated in an official statement: "The documents obtained by  
24 PrivCo paint a picture of how an insolvent,unproven automaker received \$192 million in taxpayer  
25 funding. The Department of Energy made a loan that no rational lender would have made. This  
26 loan was the equivalent of staying execution on a company that was terminally ill to begin with."  
27 Tesla and Fisker could not have been taxpayer funded unless bribes and criminal quid-pro-quo was  
28 underway by President Obama and the U.S. Senator insider traders.

1           Since its ruling in *Buckley v. Valeo*, the U.S. Supreme Court has expressed concern  
2 regarding corruption or the appearance of corruption stemming from political quid pro quo  
3 arrangements and the deleterious consequences it may have on citizens' democratic behavior.  
4 However, no standard has been set as to what constitutes "the appearance of corruption," as the  
5 Court was and continues to be vague in its definition. As a result, campaign finance cases after  
6 *Buckley* have relied on public opinion polls as evidence of perceptions of corruption, and these  
7 polls indicate that the public generally perceives high levels of corruption in government. The  
8 present study investigates the actual impact that perceptions of corruption have on individuals'  
9 levels of political participation. Adapting the standard socioeconomic status model developed most  
10 fully by Verba and Nie (1972), an extended beta-binomial regression estimated using maximum  
11 likelihood is performed, utilizing unique data from the 2009 University of Texas' Money and  
12 Politics survey. The results of this study indicate that individuals who perceive higher levels of quid  
13 pro quo corruption participate more in politics, on average, than those who perceive lower levels of  
14 corruption.  
15

16  
17           Quid pro quo is not a difficult concept to understand. Too bad the media doesn't endeavor  
18 to investigate and explain it. Your politicians don't work for you, they work for their own insider  
19 trading stock market holdings for themselves!

20           Corruption-finding software, such as that described above, will be used by Plaintiff, and  
21 Plaintiff's investigative news reporter associates, law enforcement contacts, intelligence agency  
22 contacts and regulatory agency contacts on all opposition parties associated with this case.  
23

24           The evidence above and the comments in this document DO NOT charge Judge XXXX with  
25 corruption. The facts imply that Judge XXXX is biased towards her friends, business partners and  
26 political associates who are Defendants in this case and under investigation in active federal  
27 criminal cases that Plaintiff has reported to law enforcement and regulatory agencies about.  
28

1 *DOES 1 through 20, as additional Defendants in this case, are thought, by investigators, to include*  
2 *persons friendly with the Judge, in financial investments with the Judge and the leadership of*  
3 *organizations for which the Judge is a spokesperson. If those persons are also under active*  
4 *investigations by SEC, FTC, OGE, OSC, FEC, DOJ, FBI, and other organizations that Plaintiff*  
5 *reports to, then the potential conflicts of interest are compounded.*

6  
7  
8 *Based on all of the above facts Chief Judge XXXX D XXXX must be recused from presiding over*  
9 *this case and replaced with a truly non-biased Judge who raises none of the red flag conflicts-of-*  
10 *interest mentioned herein.”*

11  
12 Date: Feb. 24, 2022

13 Signature: [ Signed Electronically By Plaintiff]  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

